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1 SUMMARY

At the request of Mr Frank Rocca, Vice-President of Exploration of East Asia Minerals Corporation ("EAMC") in 2013, Mining Associates Pty Ltd ("MA") was commissioned to prepare a Mineral Resource Estimate and related National Instrument 43-101 – Standards of Disclosure for Mineral Projects ("NI 43-101") Technical Report on EAMC’s 70% owned Sangihe Project (the “Sangihe Project”) in Indonesia.

MA has based this report on information provided by EAMC, third party technical reports, a site visit and resource modelling work conducted by MA.


Mineral Resources for the Sangihe Project as at 11 July 2013 and estimated using a cut-off grade of 0.25 g/t Au for oxide material and 1.00 g/t Au for sulphide material are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Classification</th>
<th>Tonnage (Mt)</th>
<th>Grade (g/t)</th>
<th>Silver (g/t)</th>
<th>Oz of Gold</th>
<th>Oz of Silver</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>INDICATED</td>
<td>3.16</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>19.4</td>
<td>114,700</td>
<td>1,972,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INFERRED</td>
<td>2.54</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>105,000</td>
<td>1,055,600</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1.1 LOCATION & OWNERSHIP

The Sangihe mineral tenement originally consisted of two blocks, one located on Talauld Island and one located on Sangihe Island in the Province of North Sulawesi, Indonesia. The Talauld Island block is not covered in this report. The Sangihe block covering 42,000 ha is centered at about ~786,673 m E and 380,239 m N (UTM Zone 51, WGS84). The Bawone and Binebase deposits, which are the focus of this report, are located in the eastern part of the Sangihe tenement on the southeast coast of Sangihe Island.

The Sangihe Project is covered by a Contract of Work system ("CoW") which was originally granted in 1997, lapsed and then was re-activated in 2009. It is covered by a 7th generation CoW between the Government of Indonesia and an Indonesian registered foreign investment company ("PMA") PT Tambang Mas Sangihe. EAMC is the owner of a 70% interest in PT Tambang Mas Sangihe and the remaining 30% interest is held by three Indonesian corporations.

No permits other than the granted CoW are required to conduct exploration programs and there are no known environmental impediments either existing or foreseeable. The CoW does not give its holder surface rights, which must be obtained from private land holders, other departments or ministries. Most of the Sangihe CoW area consists of "Other Use" land although a very small section of the Binebase area is covered by "Protected Forest". EAMC have advised MA that the Sangihe CoW does not require a Borrow-Use Permit to allow exploration activities to proceed. A very small portion
of the northwestern part of the Binebase area is within an area of HL or Protected Forest where open pit or surface mining is not allowed. EAMC have advised MA that the HL area at Binebase does not cover the Resource area but a mangrove area within an adjacent lagoon and will have no impact on a potential mining project.

1.2 EXPLORATION

Exploration activities in the Sangihe area commenced in 1986 with PT Meares Soputan Mining ("MSM") conducting stream sediment and rock chip sampling programs and ground magnetics and induced polarisation surveys. These activities led to the delineation of the first drill holes at the Taware copper-gold prospect in 1987-88. Elevated gold in stream and rock chip samples led to the discovery of the Binebase and Bawone deposits which were drilled by PT MSM/Ashton Mining between 1989 and 1993. Additional drilling was performed by Bre-X at the Taware prospect between 1994 and 1996.

Since 2007, EAMC have undertaken a range of exploration activities including geological mapping, rock chip sampling, geophysical surveys, petrological studies, trenching and drilling. EAMC has conducted over 16,000 metres of diamond drilling from 167 drill holes, over 14,000 core assays, about 1,600 metres of channel sampling, over 60 line km of induced polarisation surveys and almost 60 line km of ground magnetic surveys.

1.3 QA/QC

EAMC’s quality control preparation and sampling procedures generally reflect industry best practice with an awareness to reduce contamination and precision error. EAMC employed satisfactory Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) to help reduce sample labelling error and sample mix-up. Overall, given the accuracy and precision of the results provided, the QA/QC program implemented by EAMC is considered acceptable for a Mineral Resource definition stage. It is MA’s opinion that the sample preparation, security and analytical procedures are adequate for the purposes of the current Mineral Resource Estimation.

1.4 GEOLOGY

The Sangihe Project is located in the Sangihe volcanic island arc which extends northwards over 400 km from the north-eastern arm of Sulawesi to Mindanao in the southern Philippines. The regional geology is characterised by Miocene to currently active calc-alkaline stratovolcanoes, formed during westerly directed subduction of the Molucca Sea plate beneath the Sangihe arc and the northern arm of Sulawesi. The subduction processes that formed the Tertiary-Quaternary aged magmatic arc including Sangihe Island also resulted in the development of a major metallogenic belt characterised by a number of base and precious metal deposits.

Sangihe Island is composed of volcanic rocks erupted from at least four volcanic centres, which progressively young from south to north. These volcanic centres include the extinct Tamako volcano in the centre of the island and the deeply eroded Taware volcanic centre in the south. The Binebase and Bawone deposits are immediately to the east of Tamako.

Prominent regional structures trend east and dissect the area between the volcanoes. Other major lineaments trend northwest and northeast, cross cutting all volcanic rocks. A set of regional north-
northwest to northwest and north to northeast trending structures are the dominant features in the southern part of the island particularly in the Taware and Binebase-Bawone areas.

1.5 MINERALIZATION

Known mineralization within the project area occurs in two main localities, the Binebase-Bawone and the Taware areas. The Binebase and Bawone areas are classified as high sulphidation deposits and the Taware areas are prospective for copper-gold porphyry targets and low sulphidation epithermal targets.

Both oxide and sulphide types of gold mineralization are present at the Binebase and Bawone deposits. Significant gold and silver mineralization at both deposits is restricted to intensely silicified pyritised tuffs and breccias. Arseniferous pyrite is the most common sulphide. Sulphides are very fine grained and disseminated. The upper portion of the silicified and mineralised rock is oxidised and often weathered to a disaggregated and vuggy limonite stained baritic quartz sand. Minor copper enrichment occurs at the base of oxidation beneath all drill intercepts through the silicified zones, arising from the supergene deposition of chalcocite. Alteration at Binebase and Bawone is typical of high sulphidation alteration zoning.

Gold mineralization at Binebase appears to form thin, roughly tabular oxide zones overlying more steeply dipping, breccia vein sulphide zones. The current area of interpreted oxide mineralization at Binebase is over an area of about 950 m east by 600 m north and is about 25-50 m thick. Sulphide mineralization at Binebase appears to occur in steeply dipping, breccia vein sulphide zones that may be interpreted as feeder veins to the overlying oxide mineralization. The contact between the oxide and sulphide zones is quite irregular and generally deeper over the interpreted sulphide veins.

Geological modelling by MA at Bawone indicates that mineralization occurs within near vertical tabular bodies. Very little oxide material is present, likely due to the presence of the Pinterang Formation. Sulphide mineralization appears to be controlled by a lithological–structural contact zone between hornblende-biotite andesite porphyry and andesite crystal tuff that strikes north to northwest. A sinistral northeast striking fault appears to offset mineralization through the middle. Defined mineralization is approximately 300 m along strike, 25-75 m wide and extends 200 m below surface.

Regionally, there is potential for porphyry-style copper-gold mineralization based on the occurrence of copper and gold bearing quartz vein stockworks associated with diorite and porphyry-style alteration assemblages at Taware. Base metal and gold bearing quartz veins peripheral to the Taware diorite have characteristics that are indicative of low sulphidation mineralization.

1.6 PREVIOUS MINERAL RESOURCE ESTIMATE

A Mineral Resource Estimate for the Binebase and Bawone deposits based on drilling results up to 2010 was published in the NI 43-101 Technical Report (Stone, 2010). The 2010 Mineral Resource Estimates are all in the inferred category and are listed in the table below.
**1.7 MINERAL RESOURCE ESTIMATE**

The current (July 2013) Mineral Resource Estimates for the Sangihe Project were prepared in compliance with CIM guidelines and under the guidance of NI 43-101 disclosure standards for reporting Mineral Projects. Mineral Resources for the Sangihe Project include two separate deposits with oxide and sulphide mineralization reported at different cut-off grades (table below). The table presented should to be read in conjunction with the notes following.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Tonnes (t)</th>
<th>Au (g/t)</th>
<th>Ag (g/t)</th>
<th>Au (oz)</th>
<th>Ag (oz)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Binebase Oxide at 0.25 g/t Au cut-off</strong></td>
<td>Indicated</td>
<td>Oxide</td>
<td>2,286,100</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>56,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Inferred</td>
<td>Oxide</td>
<td>893,100</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>18,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Binebase Sulphide at 1.00 g/t Au cut-off</strong></td>
<td>Indicated</td>
<td>Sulphide</td>
<td>204,800</td>
<td>2.12</td>
<td>32.8</td>
<td>14,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Inferred</td>
<td>Sulphide</td>
<td>81,100</td>
<td>2.09</td>
<td>33.6</td>
<td>5,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bawone Oxide at 0.25 g/t Au cut-off oxide</strong></td>
<td>Indicated</td>
<td>Oxide</td>
<td>21,700</td>
<td>3.12</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>2,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Inferred</td>
<td>Oxide</td>
<td>335,800</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>14,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bawone Sulphide at 1.00 g/t cut-off</strong></td>
<td>Indicated</td>
<td>Sulphide</td>
<td>644,800</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>41,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Inferred</td>
<td>Sulphide</td>
<td>1,226,300</td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>66,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Indicated</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3,157,400</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>19.4</td>
<td>114,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Inferred</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2,536,300</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>105,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note - Reported tonnage and grade figures have been rounded off from raw estimates to the appropriate number of significant figures to reflect the order of accuracy of the estimate. Minor variations may occur during the addition of rounded numbers.

**Notes to accompany the Mineral Resource Estimate:**

- The Sangihe Project is 70% owned by East Asia Minerals Corporation (“EAMC”).
- EAMC drill core was available for inspection on site.
- MA has reviewed the company procedures and protocols for EAMC drilling and has visited site on one occasion.
- MA conducted a review of the drill hole data.
• Diamond core of PQ, HQ and NQ diameters with standard and triple tube core recovery systems were used by EAMC.

• Binebase mean core recovery for all drilling was 93.19% from 12,685 measurements. Bawone mean core recovery was 94.23% from 3,157 measurements.

• QA/QC program implemented by EAMC is considered acceptable for a mineral resource definition stage. It is MA’s opinion that the sample preparation, security and analytical procedures are sufficiently adequate for the purposes of the current mineral resource estimation.

• Drill hole data was plotted in UTM Zone 51, WGS84 datum.

• Mineralization interpretation used in this Mineral Resource Estimate is based entirely on ½ diamond drill core samples submitted for geochemical analysis.

• The Mineral Resource Estimate at Binebase is based on 110 drill holes out of a total of 126 drill holes, from which 2,966 informing samples totalling 3,079.25 m were selected. The Mineral Resource Estimate at Bawone is based on 17 drill holes out of a total of 27 drill holes, from which 1,119 informing samples totalling 1,136.40 m were selected.

• The geological resource is constrained by domains consisting of 3D wireframes/solids. Drill hole data was displayed in section and elevation slices showing assays and geology. Intercepts were selected and coded for each domain based primarily on a grade greater than 0.3 g/t Au and less than 1 g/t Au for low grade, and more than 1 g/t Au for high grade.

• Solids were extended laterally for approximately half the drill spacing, typically about 12.5 m, where mineralization was not closed off by drilling. The depth of extrapolation below drill holes was also typically about half the average drill spacing, about 12.5 m. Two sulphide breccia veins at Binebase were extended vertically 15 m below their respective lowest drill hole intercept points. Bawone mineralization was extended vertically 15 m below the lowest drill hole intercepts.

• Domains are based on at least 2 drill hole intercepts.

• Drill intercepts within each lode are flagged in a database table and composited to give informing sample downhole composites.

• Informing samples were composited to 2 m lengths.

• Grade caps were applied to gold and silver informing composite values to remove outliers. Grade cap values for Binebase range from 2.6 g/t and 10.5 g/t for gold and 300 g/t for silver. Grade cap values for Bawone range from 1.4 g/t to 9.2 g/t for gold and 30 g/t to 60 g/t for silver.

• Density measurements on drill core samples taken by EAMC use the water immersion method. 385 density measurements were used in this mineral resource estimate. Densities used in this mineral resource estimate were equal to the mean of the Domained sample population rounded to two decimal places. Density values for Binebase range from 1.83 t/m³ to 2.28 t/m³. Density values for Bawone range from 2.11 t/m³ to 2.63 t/m³.

• Estimation parameters were based on directional variograms for gold and silver for each domain except for Binebase high and low grade silver. Omnidirectional variograms were used for Binebase silver estimates. Downhole variograms did produce reliable estimates of nugget variance in each domain.

• Grade was interpolated by Domain using Ordinary Kriging.

• All blocks within domain solids were filled using two passes. Maximum search radii were taken from variogram ranges for each domain for the first pass estimates. For the second pass estimates, all maximum ranges were set to 300 to ensure all blocks were filled.

• The estimation block size was 15 m (x) by 15 m (y) by 5 m (z). A sub-block size of 1.875 m (x), 1.875 m (y) and 0.625 m (z) was used to increase the resolution of the model at the edges of Domains and to better represent narrow, high grade mineralization. Block size selection was based on kriging neighbourhood analysis “KNA”.
Volume of each domain was defined by wireframes in 3D space that were used to flag resource blocks.

Results are stored in a block model that was screened for topography by block.

Numbers of informing samples were chosen based on KNA. Minimum and maximum samples of 12 and 22 respectively were applied to the first pass estimates. The minimum number of samples was reduced to 3 during the second pass to ensure all blocks were filled.

Based on the block sizes and sample density, discretization points of $5(X) \times 5(Y) \times 2(Z)$ were selected.

Resources have been classified as Indicated or Inferred based upon the confidence in geological continuity, number of informing samples, kriging variance, average distance to informing samples, conditional bias slope, estimation pass, and sample density.

The Sangihe block model was validated by visual and statistical comparison of drill hole and block grades.

Cut-off grade of 0.25 g/t Au for oxide resources assumes processing by heap leaching. Cut-off grade of 1 g/t Au for sulphide mineralization is based on available evidence that the material is refractory in nature and therefore MA has selected a conservative figure to meet the requirements of NI 43-101 for “reasonable prospects for economic extraction”. Further metallurgical testwork on sulphide mineralization is required to more accurately define sulphide cut-off grade.

Reported tonnage and grade figures have been rounded off to the appropriate number of significant figures to reflect the order of accuracy of the estimate. Minor variations may occur during the addition of rounded numbers.

1.8 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

MA has completed a Mineral Resource Estimates for the Sangihe Project as at July 2013 using a cut-off grade of 0.25 g/t Au for oxide material and 1.00 g/t for sulphide material.

**INDICATED**

3.16 Mt at an average grade of 1.13 g/t gold and 19.4 g/t silver containing an estimated 114,700 oz of gold and 1,972,400 oz of silver in the Indicated category;

**INFERRED**

2.54 Mt at an average grade of 1.29 g/t gold and 13.0 g/t silver containing an estimated 105,000 oz of gold and 1,055,600 oz of silver in the Inferred category.

Identified risk issues are related to the impact of new MEMR regulations on the export of unprocessed ore, and the future renegotiations with the Indonesian Government on the CoW. Technical risk exists associated with regional seismicity with an intermediate level hazard risk of earthquakes and a high level risk of tsunami. Although the mineralised project areas are at elevated locations, other infrastructure facilities could be affected and appropriate building codes and precautions would be necessary for any future development.

There is exploration potential to the south and southeast of Binebase to expand the zone of mineralization. Sulphide resources could be increased by extending modelled breccia veins along strike and at depth. Drill testing is required to support the modelled sulphide veins and to potentially locate more veins. Sulphide mineralization at Bawone is similarly open at depth and not fully closed off along strike. Although infill drilling would increase the confidence level of the resource categories, extension drilling is recommended over infill in order to increase the resource base of the
project. Drill sections should be spaced 50 m along strike for reasonable definition of tonnes and grade.

Synthesis of previous exploration information has defined five prospective target areas (Binebase-Salurang Corridor, Upper Taware Valley/Kelapa/Kupa, Taware Ridge and Mou-Ninja, Hadakel Kecil, Sawang Kecil) recommended for a range of mapping, sampling and ground geophysical surveys (magnetics and IP) that have not been subject to significant drill testing. There may also be opportunities from reprocessing of old geophysical data. Additionally the primary source of mineralization for the current artisanal alluvial gold mining in the Taware region has not been identified.

Structural mapping is recommended to gain a better understanding of the controls of the fault systems hosting mineralization. Metallurgical test work of Binebase and Bawone mineralization is also considered necessary.

1.9 PROPOSED WORK PROGRAM & BUDGET

Details for an estimated budget for a twelve month exploration program are presented below. This budget includes provision for the drill programs discussed above, logistical support for the programs, consumables, tenement maintenance, the compilation and interpretation of data and the expansion of the camp facilities and the number of personnel. The budget does not include any overhead costs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sangihe Budget Items</th>
<th>USD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Geophysics Re-processing</td>
<td>25,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geophysical Surveys</td>
<td>200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diamond Drilling</td>
<td>2,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drill Crew Wages</td>
<td>100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drilling Consumables</td>
<td>75,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface Sampling Assays</td>
<td>50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drill Core Assaying</td>
<td>200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metallurgical Test work</td>
<td>100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultants – Resource Update, Structural Mapping</td>
<td>60,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support, camp costs, supplies, consumables</td>
<td>240,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geological Staff + data base management</td>
<td>300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional Office + Admin + IT support</td>
<td>100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>3,950,000</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MA considers the budget reasonable for the work planned and sufficient to achieve the planned objectives.

Anthony Woodward, Ian Taylor
Brisbane, Australia
30th May 2017
2 INTRODUCTION

2.1 ISSUER


East Asia Minerals Corporation (“EAMC”) is a Canadian listed public company based in Vancouver, British Columbia (Canada). EAMC is an Asian-focused, Canadian mineral exploration company with gold and copper exploration properties in Indonesia. In Indonesia, the Company has a 70% to 85% interest in three advanced gold and gold-copper properties located in Aceh Province, Sumatra, and Sangihe Island, North Sulawesi.

2.2 TERMS OF REFERENCE AND PURPOSE

At the request of Mr Frank Rocca, Vice-President of Exploration of EAMC, Mining Associates Pty Ltd (“MA”) was commissioned in May 2013 to prepare Mineral Resource Estimates and an Independent Technical Report on the Sangihe Project.


MA has not been requested to provide an independent valuation, nor has MA been asked to comment on the fairness or reasonableness of any vendor or promoter considerations, and therefore no opinion on these matters has been offered.

2.3 INFORMATION USED

The information presented in this report was derived from the following sources:

- Technical data provided by EAMC to MA.
- Site visit undertaken by Mr Anthony Woodward.

EAMC provided open access to all the records necessary, in the opinion of MA, to enable a proper assessment of the project.

EAMC has warranted in writing to MA that full disclosure has been made of all material information and that, to the best of the EAMC’s knowledge and understanding, such information is complete, accurate and true.

Additional relevant material was acquired independently by MA from a variety of sources. The list of references at the end of this report lists the sources consulted. This material was used to expand on
the information provided by EAMC and, where appropriate, confirm or provide alternative assumptions to those made by EAMC.

2.4 SITE VISIT BY QUALIFIED PERSONS

The summary review of geology and compilation of mineral resource models and estimates was conducted by Mr Anthony Woodward. Mr Woodward visited the Sangihe Project from 11 September to 13 September 2012. Mr Woodward inspected outcrop, drill collars, viewed the topography and regional structures. He visited the core shed, inspecting drill core from the Binebase and Bawone deposits. He also collected independent samples for check assay.

Mr Woodward has sufficient experience relevant to epithermal style of mineralization and deposits under consideration and to the activity which he is undertaking to qualify as a Qualified Person as defined in NI 43-101 (Canada).

Mr Woodward is a Member of the Australasian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy and the Australian Institute of Geoscientists.

3 RELIANCE ON OTHER EXPERTS

The authors have relied on reports, opinions or statements of legal or other experts who are not Qualified Persons for information concerning legal, environmental, political or other issues and factors relevant to this report.
4 PROPERTY DESCRIPTION AND LOCATION

The Sangihe Project is located on Sangihe Island in the Republic of Indonesia, which is located between the northern tip of Sulawesi Island (Indonesia) and the southern tip of Mindanao Island (Philippines). The project area is within the North Sulawesi Province and lies some 240 km north of the provincial capital, Manado (Figure 1).

The Sangihe Project consists of two blocks, located on Talaud and Sangihe Islands respectively. The Talaud Island block is not covered in this report. The Sangihe block covers an area of 42,000 ha and wholly encompasses the Binebase and Bawone deposits (Figure 2).

![Figure 1: Sangihe Project Location. Source: Bing Maps, 2012](image-url)
4.1 TENURE

The Sangihe Project is covered by a Contract of Work system (“CoW”) originally granted in 1997, which lapsed and then was re-activated in 2009.

4.1.1 Mining Tenure - General

Foreign and foreign-Indonesian joint venture companies exploring and exploiting natural resources in Indonesia normally conduct their activities under a locally-incorporated foreign-investment joint venture company, an Indonesian registered foreign investment company (“PMA”) which is regulated by a set of terms and regulations contained in a document known as the Contract of Work (“CoW”) agreement. A CoW is a legally binding contract between the Government of the Republic of Indonesia and a foreign investment joint venture company, which is specifically incorporated to hold the title of the CoW area. The said company is therefore frequently called the “CoW company”. In the CoW agreement, the Indonesian government grants the company an exclusive right to explore and mine mineral deposits that may exist in the contract area. The agreement, which lasts for 30 years, covers comprehensive terms of engagement such as the various stages of exploration from general geological survey through exploration and bankable feasibility study to mining, royalty and taxes, employment, corporate social responsibilities, and environmental protection. The CoW

Figure 2: Sangihe Project CoW and Prospects.
(Source: after Stone, 2010)
provides the shareholders of the CoW company with legal rights to have a direct equity interest in the mineral resources, thereby protecting their investment and making it appealing to the foreign companies. Since the first or “first generation” CoW, was signed 1968, the agreement has gone through seven (7) more generations.

From 1967 until January 12th 2009, mining rights in Indonesia were issued according to Mining Law number 11 of 1967, together with its implementation regulations outlined in Government Regulation number 32 of 1969. On January 12th 2009, new Mining Law number 4 replaced the 1967 law with the Mining Authorisation or License called Izin Usaha Pertambangan (“IUP”). The new law regulates the power of the local governments over natural resources under the regional autonomy and the fiscal decentralisation laws that were implemented in 2000.

The new mining law terminated the CoW system; but Article 169 (Article 112 in the implementation regulation number 23) guarantees that CoW agreements signed prior to the enforcement of the implementation regulations shall remain effective until their expiry date. Furthermore, the existing CoW can be extended to become IUP without tender.

In 2012, several new government decrees were released which will impact on the future status of CoW (Dare, 2012):

- Government Regulation 24 of 2012 (GR24)
  - amendment to the divestment obligation for IUP however divestment obligation under CoW are specified in the relevant contract
  - procedures for the extension of CoWs
- Presidential Decree 3 of 2012 (PD3)
  - establishes a team to renegotiate CoWs
- Minister of Energy and Mineral Resources Regulation 7 of 2012 (MEMR7)
  - bans the export of unprocessed ore and minerals
  - obliges IUP holders to process and refine ore and minerals
- Minister of Energy and Mineral Resources Clean and Clear List
  - verification that an IUP has been validly issued and does not overlap with other mining permits

Under new regulation GR24, for IUP holders, foreign investors still obliged to divest up to 20% of the shares in an IUP holder within 5 years of commencement of production, but must in addition, divest a further 31% of the shares in an IUP holder within 10 years of the commencement of production. The divestment obligation only expressed to apply to holders of IUPs not CoWs. However, the Government is in the process of renegotiating CoWs and has indicated that obligation to divest will be one of the issues discussed during the CoW renegotiation process.

In addition, CoWs will not be extended beyond their expiry date but rather converted to IUPs. The new IUP will be issued by the Minister, not the Regional Government. The Minister must consider the reserves within the work area and the maximum benefit in the interests of the State when granting an IUP. The Minister can reject applications for extension if the holder of the CoW has not shown good performance in mining exploitation. Any land area of a CoW that is not included in the
IUP will become a State Reserve. It may be the case that it will be necessary to reduce size of CoWs to maximum size permitted for IUPs.

A CoW or IUP does not give its holder surface rights, which must be obtained from other departments or ministries.

4.1.2 Sangihe Project

The Sangihe Project tenement (Figure 3) is covered by a 7th generation CoW that was signed on April 27, 1997 between the Government of Indonesia and PMA company PT Tambang Mas Sangihe (“PT TMS”). On the basis of the new law, the CoW shall remain valid until 2027, and can be extended twice for 10 years each.

The original shareholders of PT TMS were Laarenim Holding BV, a Dutch-based company owned by Bre-X Minerals Ltd, Calgary, Canada, and an Indonesian company named PT Sungai Belayan Sejati. The Sangihe CoW was suspended in May 1997 by the then Minister of Mines and Energy. In 2006 the Indonesian owner of the Sangihe CoW requested the Minister of Energy and Natural Resources to determine the status of this tenement. On August 31, 2009 the Government responded the request by re-activating the CoW.

On 10 December 2010, the CoW area was reduced, however the Sangihe Island portion (Block A) remains the same size as the original CoW area. The related document, Decree # 514.K/30/DJB/2010 is available in Appendix 1. Geographical co-ordinates of the CoW corners are listed in Table 1. This document states (in translation):

...Reduction 1: The Contract of Work area of 41,770 ha (33.72% of the area of the original CoW) and the beginning of Phase Exploration the Contract of Work PT. Tambang Mas Sangihe mine for over 36 (thirty six) months with effect from July 6, 2010 until the date of July 5, 2013.

...Area of Contract of Work is an area of 123 850 (total area initially) reduced area of 41,770 Ha (luas Penciutan region I) becomes area of 82,080 ha (66.27% of the area of the original CoW) in accordance with a map and a list of coordinates published by the Information Section Mineral and Coal, d/h UPIWP with Area Code 10PK0189 as contained in the attachment to this decree.

The CoW remained in Exploration Stage through 2016, and has been moved into Feasibility Study Stage for 2017.
Figure 3: Sangihe Project Tenement Map showing Block A.  
(Source: Google Maps 2011)

Table 1: CoW Sangihe Island Block A Corner Co-ordinates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference No.</th>
<th>Longitude East</th>
<th>Latitude North</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>125°28'27.8&quot;</td>
<td>3°33'30&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>125°40'27.8&quot;</td>
<td>3°33'30&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>125°40'27.8&quot;</td>
<td>3°22'30&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>125°37'27.8&quot;</td>
<td>3°22'30&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>125°37'27.8&quot;</td>
<td>3°21'0&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>125°35'27.8&quot;</td>
<td>3°21'0&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>125°35'27.8&quot;</td>
<td>3°22'30&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>125°33'27.8&quot;</td>
<td>3°22'30&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>125°33'27.8&quot;</td>
<td>3°25'0&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>125°28'27.8&quot;</td>
<td>3°25'0&quot;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.2 PROPERTY OWNERSHIP

The Sangihe Project tenement is held under the current CoW by PT Tambang Mas Sangihe. Under a series of share purchase transactions and other material changes, the original articles of incorporation was amended to include EAMC as the owner of 70% interest of EAMC in 2009. The remaining 30% interest is held by three Indonesian corporations.

4.3 ROYALTIES AND OTHER AGREEMENTS

Entities or individuals that carry out mining activities under IUPs or IUPKs are required to pay central taxes (including income tax and other centrally administered taxes, as well as import / customs duties), non-tax state revenue (principally royalties, dead rent and exploration contributions) as well as regional taxes and retributions.

There appears to be no royalties (other than the mandated government royalties under the Mining Law for any future production), back-in rights, payments, or other agreements or encumbrances on the property.

4.4 ENVIRONMENTAL LIABILITIES

The authors are unaware of any environmental liabilities to which the Property is subject, other than the normal licensing and permitting requirements that must be made prior to undertaking certain operations and environmental restrictions as set forth by mining regulations in Indonesia.

Based on Government Regulation No. 51/1993 regarding Environmental Impacts Assessments, any mining activities conducted by EAMC will require an Environmental Impact Assessment and Environmental Management and Monitoring Plans.

4.5 PERMITS AND OBLIGATIONS

4.5.1 Forestry Permits

According to the Directorate General of Forestry Planning and Ministry of Forestry Republic of Indonesia GIS Forestry website (http://webgis.dephut.go.id/), most of the Sangihe CoW is categorised as Areal Penggunaan Lain (“APL”) or Other Use, with lesser areas of Hutan Lindung (“HL”), or Protected Forest as indicated in Figure 4. HL is forestland designated for protecting soil and hydrology. APL is land outside forestland which designated for non-forestry purposes. APL areas are designated primarily for a function other than production, protection, conservation, social services or multiple use. A very small portion of the northwestern part of the Binebase Deposit area is adjacent to or is covered by HL classification forest (Figure 4 inset). However, EAMC have advised MA that the HL classification covers mangroves on the edge of a lagoon and does not affect the Binebase resource.
4.6 OTHER SIGNIFICANT FACTORS

4.6.1 Social & Community

Local artisanal miners are active within the Sangihe CoW area, chiefly around the Taware area. Such artisanal mining may result in injury, environmental incident and/or reputational impact. EAMC is monitoring the impact of such activities and working with local government and local community in maintaining a stable local workforce to discourage such activities. Sangihe Island police have advised EAMC that artisanal miners will be temporarily relocated in areas where drilling operations are required. This temporary relocation is not expected to cause undue distress to local miners and impact on EAMC reputation.
5 ACCESSIBILITY, CLIMATE, LOCAL RESOURCES, INFRASTRUCTURE AND PHYSIOGRAPHY

5.1 ACCESS

Sangihe Island is serviced by Naha Airport (NAH), located 21 km from Tahuna. Wings Air operates daily flights to Manado (Sam Ratulangi International Airport). Connecting flights to Manado are provided by Silk Air (over 30 destinations), Lion Air (5 destinations including Jakarta) and Garuda Indonesia. Two commercial passenger ferry services operate daily connecting Tahuna and Manado. A sealed road connects major coastal and inland villages on the island. It is a 1.5 hour journey via sealed road from Tahuna to the main project camp area at Bowone.

5.2 TOPOGRAPHY, ELEVATION AND VEGETATION

The physiography of Sangihe Island is dominated by volcanoes, the most prominent being the large stratovolcano of Mount Awu which forms the northern half of the island. This volcano, rising to over 1300 m, is periodically active with the last major eruption in 1966, and minor eruptive activity in 2004. The Sangihe Project area exhibits a moderately to highly dissected terrain. The northwest of the CoW is dominated by an extinct volcano comprising mountainous ridges and spurs (about 350 m ASL) dissected by straight and braided streams. Topography grades to sea level towards the southeast with undulating hills and meandering streams (Figure 5).

Little original tropical rainforest remains as much of the area is given over to plantations. Vegetation consists largely of cultivated coconut, clove and nutmeg with secondary re-growth.

![Figure 5: Digital Elevation of Sangihe CoW area.](Source: GPX Surveys, 2011)
5.3 POPULATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE

About 80,000 people reside in villages in the southern portion of Sangihe Island. The largest of these villages include Laine, Salurang, Pintareng, Lapango, Ngalipaeng, Binebase and Soawuhu (Figure 6). Numerous small villages are located along the coast and the paved roads that cross the island. These settlements support fishing communities and clove, coconut and nutmeg plantations. The villages of Bowone and Binebase, which are closest to the prospects that are currently being explored, provide local labour to support EAMC’s activities. An extensive power grid exists on the island as well as a mobile telephone network. Basic supplies and light machinery are available from Tahuna.

![Figure 6: Sangihe CoW area showing local villages.](Source: Stone, 2010)

5.4 CLIMATE

Sangihe Island has a tropical climate with an average daily temperature of 27°C (minimum ~21°C, maximum ~31°C). Rainfall is above 130 mm per month year-round, but peaks from December to March with an annual rainfall of 3.5 m (www.worldweather.org). Monitoring by EAMC in 2008 indicated rainfall in excess of 4.5 m. The relatively dry season lasts from June to September. Figure 7 shows the rain and temperature averages for Manado (240 km south of Sangihe Island), which is approximately at sea level. It receives 276 cm of rain on average annually.

Typhoons occur in the region but are not common. Two typhoons have been recorded tracking within 200 km of the Sangihe Project over the period 1906 to 2012 (Figure 8).
5.5 SURFACE RIGHTS, PERSONNEL, AREA FOR PLANT, PROCESSING & WASTE

The Sangihe Project is an exploration stage project working under the authority of the CoW and a Borrow-Use Permit as described in Item 4. In addition, EAMC has local land owner agreements.

Personnel for exploration work are available from the villages of Bowone and Binebase.
6 HISTORY

6.1 PRIOR OWNERSHIP

The first CoW over the southern Sangihe Island area was held by PT Meares Soputan Mining ("PT MSM") in partnership with Muswellbrook. Ashton Mining Ltd. of Australia ("Ashton") acquired Muswellbrook’s interest in the property in 1990. In 1993, Aurora Gold Ltd. ("Aurora") was formed from the gold assets of Ashton Mining. Following the relinquishment of the CoW area by Aurora and its Indonesian partner in 1994, Bre-X Minerals of Canada ("Bre-X") in partnership with PT Sungai Belayan Sejati obtained a new CoW application over the area. This CoW was suspended by the then Indonesian Ministry of Mines and Energy following the collapse of Bre-X in 1997. PT Kristalin Eka Lestari ("PT KEL") subsequently obtained a mining authorisation licence over the Binebase-Bowone-Salurang area.

In April 2007, PT TMS received the necessary approvals in principle from the Government and was granted a preliminary exploration permit ("SIPP") and finalised negotiations for the grant of its CoW. Under the SIPP, PT TMS was authorised to conduct all proposed exploration activities including drilling.

6.2 PREVIOUS EXPLORATION

There are no known records of historic gold production for the Sangihe Property. Mining was limited to small scale artisanal mining practices in the Taware area.

The following is a chronological list of historical exploration within the Sangihe Project area:

- 1986: PT MSM, in partnership with Muswellbrook, undertook systematic stream sediment sampling, reconnaissance rock chip sampling, and ground magnetic and induced polarisation ("IP") surveys in the southern part of the island. These field programs led to the discovery of several copper-gold and gold prospects and prompted unofficial artisanal mining of alluvial material and shallow quartz veins in the Taware area.

- 1987-88: Drilling was conducted at Taware and the surrounding area with no apparent success except for one (1) hole that apparently intersected marginal grade, porphyry copper-gold mineralization (Bautista et al., 1998). Results of extensive soil and outcrop sampling and limited geophysical surveys were used to develop drill targets.

- 1989-1993: A 5,000 m diamond drilling program was completed mainly testing targets at Binebase and Bawone and to a lesser extent at Salurang. This work led to the discovery of gold mineralization at Binebase and Bawone.


- 2006: PT KEL conducted limited trenching at the Bawone prospect. Exploration focused mainly on the Taware and Binebase-Bawone areas.
6.3 HISTORICAL RESOURCE AND RESERVE ESTIMATES

There are no historical mineral resource estimates reported for the Sangihe CoW.

6.4 HISTORICAL PRODUCTION

Apart from artisanal mining in the Taware region (for which there are no production records), there has been no previous mining or production.
7 GEOLOGICAL SETTING AND MINERALIZATION

7.1 REGIONAL GEOLOGY

Regional geological framework for the Sangihe Island area is best covered by Hall (1996), Ranginet al. (1999), Pubellieret al. (1999) and Garwinet al. (2005).

The tectonics of the Sangihe region and the observations of older (mid Miocene) volcanics and younger (Pliocene and active Quaternary) volcanism led Hamilton (1979, 1988) to suggest the termination of westward subduction of the Molucca Sea microplate beneath the arc along the East Sangihe trench and the introduction of eastward subduction of the Celebes Sea plate beneath Sangihe Island chain. This is briefly reiterated by Darman and Sidi (2000) who use the term Minahasa-Sangihe volcanic arc for the Quaternary volcanism. Soeria-Atmadjaet al. (1999) appear to include the Neogene component as part of the Western Sulawesi arc. Ranginet al. (1996), McConachy et al. (2004) and others simply refer to the island chain as the Sangihe arc. A later oceanic survey (together with current seismic data) has confirmed that the westward subduction is still in progress.

The Sangihe volcanic island chain extends some 400 km northwards from the Quaternary volcanoes on the northeastern tip of Sulawesi, near Manado, to the Kawio Islands near the border with the Philippines. This chain lies above a west-dipping Wadati-Benioff seismic zone whose subduction trench is obscured by accreted sediments and mélangé, forming the Talaud-Mayu (Miangas-Pujada-Talaud) ridge. Depth to subducting Molucca slab at Sangihe Island is only about 135 km.

Tectonics of the region have been investigated (via oceanic gravity and seismic) by Ranginet al. (1996) and Lallemandet al. (1998) who describe the collision of the Halmahara arc (eastward subduction of the Molucca sea plate) with the Sangihe arc (westward subduction of the Molucca sea plate). The key elements are shown at Figure 9 and Figure 10.

Figure 9: Schematic tectonic map, Northern Indonesia.  
(Source: Ranginet al., 1996)
7.2 LOCAL GEOLOGY

Sangihe Island is composed of volcanic rocks erupted from at least four volcanic centres, which progressively young from south to north. These volcanic centres include: the active Awu volcano in the north of the island, the Tahuna caldera immediately to the south of Awu, the extinct Tamako volcano in the centre of the island and the deeply eroded Taware volcanic centre in the south.

In the south of the island the compositions of the volcanic rocks and their less abundant intrusive equivalents are calc-alkaline to calcic. Volcanic rocks in the north, where the Tahuna and Awu volcanoes occur, are intermediate to acidic in composition. The prominent regional structures trend east and dissect the area between the volcanoes. Other major lineaments trend northwest and northeast, cross cutting all volcanic rocks.

Five main volcanic successions and one sedimentary group have been identified in the south Sangihe area (Garwin, 1990). The oldest are the Taware and Binebase Groups (Figure 11 and Figure 12), which are overlain unconformably by the Malisang and Batunderang Groups. These pre-date the eruptive sequence from the Tamako volcano (Tamako Group).

Major lithology types within the volcanic successions consist of hornblende and/or clinopyroxene andesite flows, sills and dykes; lithic ash-lapilli tuffs, crystal tuffs and tuff breccias; lahars; porphyritic andesite and diorite intrusive; minor dacite flows and sedimentary rock.

The reworked volcanic and marine sedimentary rocks of the Pintareng Formation were deposited contemporaneously with the younger lithologies of the Tamako Group. EAMC interpret the youngest lithologic units are unconformably overlying intercalations of epiclastic and marine sedimentary rocks of the Pintareng Formation. Hydrothermal breccias which formed as part of the alteration-
mineralization process were emplaced at Binebase and Bawone during or after accumulation of the Pintareng Formation.

Figure 11: Local geology of the Sangihe CoW
Showing location of main prospects.
(Source: Garwin, 1990; modified slightly by Arodji & Johnnedy, 2009)
7.3 PROSPECT GEOLOGY

At the Binebase-Bawone area, Garwin (1990) described the Binebase Group predominantly characterised by a tuffaceous sequence composed of well indurated ash tuffs. Crystal tuff with fine to medium grained plagioclase in an ash matrix is selectively altered and mineralised at Binebase. The tuffs form a poorly defined north-northwest trending belt approximately 5 km long from Binebase to south of Salurang. Subordinate fine grained hornblende-clinopyroxene andesite flows underlie and overlie the tuffaceous sequence.

Figure 13, Figure 14, Figure 15 and Figure 16 illustrate common rock types seen in the Sangihe area.
Tuff-andesite contacts exposed at Binebase-Bawone have north-easterly strikes and moderate to steep south-easterly dips. Internal tuff sequence contacts generally dip towards the south (Figure 17 and Figure 18).

Porphyritic biotite-hornblende andesite forms two major north-northwesterly trending exposures adjacent to outcrops of tuff and fined grained andesite in the Bawone area. Contacts are irregular and of variable local trends suggesting the unit to be a hypabyssal intrusive body (Figure 19 and Figure 20).

Minor dacite flow rock is associated with ash tuff in close proximity to a porphyritic andesite contact at Binebase South and siltstone forms intercalations within fine grained andesite south of Salurang.

Figure 13: Hydrothermal breccia at Binebase
Drill hole BID131_21.67-21.96 m.

Figure 14: Dacite flows in Binebase
Drill hole BID130_50.41-50.70 m.

Figure 15: Weakly altered diorite from Taware
Drill hole TAD004 127.30-127.41 m.

Figure 16: Altered andesite from
Drill hole BID129_118.50-118.61 m.
Figure 17. Binebase interpreted lithology plan view.  
(Source: Stone, 2010)

Figure 18. Binebase interpreted lithology section view.  
(Source: Stone, 2010)
Figure 19. Bawone interpreted lithology plan view.
(Source: Stone, 2010)

Figure 20: Bawone Deposit - Schematic cross section
Mineralised zone between the dacite and the porphyry intrusive.
(Source: modified from Arodji & Johnnedy, 2009)
7.3.1 Structure

The Binebase-Bawone area (and the southeast portion of Sangihe Island) are located within a broad north-northwest structural corridor, within which alteration and mineralization appear to occur in northwest-trending dilational zones and to a lesser extent in northeast-trending zones.

7.4 MINERALIZATION

Significant mineralization within the Sangihe area occurs in two main localities:

- Binebase-Bawone (southeast corner of the island).
- Taware-Sede-Kupa (south central part of the island). Taware copper-gold mineralization is porphyry related with outlying (possible low sulphidation) gold prospects (Sede and Kupa)

Mineralization at Binebase and Bawone are described below.

7.4.1 Binebase

Gold-bearing oxide and sulphide zones have been defined at Binebase. Binebase oxide mineralization is elongated to the northwest along 600 m of strike length. Oxide zones appear to form roughly tabular bodies overlying more steeply dipping sulphide zones. EAMC’s drilling indicates that the oxide zone is generally 20 to 60 m thick with an abrupt transition to sulphide mineralization. However, the geometry of the transition appears quite irregular. Some irregularity is expected due to small scale faults, or more extensive alteration along rock type boundaries or within specific geological units where fluid flow would be expected to be higher but may also be related to the core logging process and the subjective interpretation of the location of the base of oxidation.

Significant gold and silver mineralization is restricted to intensely silicified and pyritised tuff (Swift and Alwan, 1990). Arseniferous pyrite is the most common sulphide comprising over 50 % of the rock in some samples. Pyrite commonly occurs with framboidal or colloform textures. Covellite and chalcopyrite intergrowths occur as the most abundant copper bearing phase. Sulphides are very fine grained and disseminated. The upper portion of the silicified and mineralised rock is oxidised and commonly weathered to a disaggregated limonite stained baritic quartz sand. Minor copper enrichment occurs at the base of oxidation beneath all drill intercepts through the silicified zones, arising from the supergene deposition of chalcocite (although Garwin reported malachite and calcanthite).

Narrow quartz-barite-sphalerite-galena veinlets occur within argillic-phylllic alteration. Quartz veins are sugary and crystalline, often vuggy with coarse bladed barite crystals and coarse, amber coloured sphalerite and minor galena. These veinlets are weakly anomalous in gold and silver.

Petrography (Ashley, 2008) and XRD (Raudsepp & Pani, 2008) identified titano-magnetite in weakly altered samples and confirmed the presence of enargite (and minor marcasite) at Bawone and minor enargite at Binebase.

Figure 21, Figure 22, Figure 23 and Figure 24 illustrate typical mineralised rocks at Binebase.
7.4.2 Bawone

At Bawone (approximately 1 km south of Binebase), three gold prospects have been identified. From north to south these are: Main Zone, Bonzos and Brown Sugar. At Main Zone, mineralization is interpreted as an elongated southeast-northwest, steeply dipping tabular zone within fine crystal tuff with dimensions of 250 m long, 75 m wide and over 100 m deep and is open to northwest and southeast. Oxide zone mineralization is about 25 m thick. Bonzos is located about 250 m southwest of Main Zone (Figure 19) and mineralization is interpreted to have been deposited under a dacite flow (canopy) measuring 100 m x 200 m wide at a depth of 60 to 80 m. Brown Sugar is located about 500 m southwest of Main Zone.

Mineralization is mostly hosted in an altered porphyritic lithic tuff. Relict phenocrysts are variably leached leaving barite ± quartz rimmed voids or clay altered (kaolinite dominant). The matrix shows intense silicification. Pyrite is the dominant sulphide; occurring as medium-fine grained, anhedral-euhedral zoned crystals. Sphalerite is a minor sulphide. No visible gold is seen in hand specimen or in thin section (EAMC, 2008).

7.4.3 Alteration

Alteration at Binebase-Bawone as described by Garwin (1990) is of a typical high sulphidation style:

- Propylitic: Chlorite-pyrite with minor calcite-clay and rare epidote.
• Clay-pyrite:
• Phyllic: Illite-quartz-pyrite with minor kaolinite-gypsum-smectite-chlorite.
• Argillic: Kaolinite with minor illite-quartz-pyrite-gypsum-barite.
• Swift and Alwan (1990) reported sphalerite and galena mineralization in this zone.
• Alunite: Alunite-barite with minor scorodite (hydrated iron arsenate) and halloysite. May host some gold-silver mineralization.
• Silica: Quartz-barite-pyrite (vuggy) with minor kaolinite-chalcocite-covellite-chalcopryite-gypsum-chalcedony. Enargite is also reported. Typically 10 to 50 % pyrite and 2 to 10 % barite occur with moderate to intense silicification. This innermost alteration zone hosts the gold-silver mineralization.

7.4.4 Mineralization Interpretation

Zoned alteration and gold-copper distribution at Bawone indicates that hot magmatic fluids flowed upward in the vicinity of late stage diatreme breccias, and then laterally along dilatant structures towards the southeast (i.e. towards Salurang). The size of the alteration zones, temperature of formation and metal grades all decrease moving from up-flow to outflow settings. The local sharp contacts between residual (vuggy) silica, silica-alunite and peripheral clay alteration indicate formation at a moderately high crustal level or distal to the inferred magmatic source, and are typical of an outflow portion of the hydrothermal system. Mineralization occurs as filling of vughs in the residual silica as sulphide matrix to the brecciated competent residual silica and silica-alunite alteration.

While the bulk of the hydrothermal fluids flowed to the southeast along the dilatant structures, relatively small structurally controlled high sulphidation mineralization occurs to the southwest at Brown Sugar and Bonzo. Here, observed rapid changes in alteration zonation are consistent with fluid quenching. This, and the presence of low temperature alteration minerals, reflects a distal setting to the inferred fluid upflow in the vicinity of the diatreme breccia.

At Binebase, alteration and mineralization are interpreted to have been derived from fluids which flowed along the through-going north-northeast and then north-northwest structures and then intersected a permeable lapilli tuff unit. Low temperature alteration assemblages are consistent with the distal relationship to the inferred fluid source at Bawone. Chalcedonic quartz becomes increasingly vuggy down dip and to the south towards the inferred up-flow. The abundant gypsum and barite suggest that incursion of sea water could have occurred, possibly from the northwest.
8 DEPOSIT TYPES

Bawone and Binebase are classified as high sulphidation style gold deposits. The potential for porphyry-style copper-gold mineralization within the project area is also recognized based on the occurrence of copper and gold bearing quartz vein stockworks associated with diorite and porphyry-style alteration assemblages at Taware. Base metal and gold bearing quartz veins peripheral to the Taware diorite have characteristics that are indicative of low sulphidation mineralization.

8.1 CLASSIFICATION

Porphyry-related base and precious metal deposit styles of the magmatic island arcs of the southwest Pacific are discussed extensively by Corbett and Leach (1998) and Corbett (2004). These authors also provide a comprehensive discussion of the controlling factors and characteristics of porphyry systems and classify southwest Pacific Rim gold-copper systems into three main groups:

- Porphyry-related
- High sulphidation
- Low sulphidation

Figure 25 shows the interpreted genetic setting for the Sangihe Project deposits within the framework of Corbett and Leach (1998).

Figure 25. High sulphidation model. With structural and lithological controls. (Source: Corbett and Leach, 1998)
8.1.1 High Sulphidation Gold Model

High sulphidation deposits are also called alunite-kaolinite or acid sulfate deposits. Typical characteristics of high sulphidation deposits are summarised in Table 2.

Table 2: Characteristics from high sulphidation deposits

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Characteristic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Form of deposit       | Veins mostly subordinate
                        | Stockwork veining common
                        | Disseminated ore common
                        | Replacement ore common                                                                 |
| Ore minerals          | Enargite-luzonite, pyrite, chalcopyrite, covellite, tetrahedrite-tennantite, gold |
| Gangue minerals       | Quartz, kaolinite, alunite, barite                                            |
| Common textures       | Wallrock replacement textures, drusy cavities, hydrothermal breccias, veins    |
| Minor textures        | Banded textures                                                               |
| Dominant metals       | Cu, Ag, Au, As                                                                 |
| Minor metals          | Pb, Hg, Sb, Te, Sn, Mo                                                         |
| Alteration            | (proximal) Argillic→advanced argillic→intermediate argillic→propylitic (distal) |
| Host rocks            | Rhyodacite typical                                                            |
| Timing                | Similar ages, host and ore                                                    |
| Fluids                | Oxidised, acidic, magmatic dominant                                           |
| Tectonic Setting      | Magmatic arc                                                                  |
9 EXPLORATION

9.1 PRE-2007

A chronological list of historical exploration within the Sangihe Project area prior to 2007 is shown in Table 3.

Table 3: Previous Exploration

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Description of activities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1986</td>
<td>PT MSM in partnership with Muswellbrook, undertook systematic stream sediment sampling, reconnaissance rock chip sampling, and ground magnetic and induced polarisation (&quot;IP&quot;) surveys in the southern part of the island. These field programs led to the discovery of several copper-gold and gold prospects and prompted unofficial artisanal mining of alluvial material and shallow quartz veins in the Taware area.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1987-88</td>
<td>Drilling was conducted at Taware and the surrounding area with no apparent success except for one (1) hole that apparently intersected marginal grade, porphyry copper-gold mineralization (Bautista et al., 1998). Results of extensive soil and outcrop sampling and limited geophysical surveys were used to develop drill targets.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1989-1993</td>
<td>A 5,000 m diamond drilling program was completed mainly testing targets at Binebase and Bawone and to a lesser extent at Salurang. This work led to the discovery of gold mineralization at Binebase and Bawone.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1994-1996</td>
<td>Bre-X undertook exploration including diamond drilling at Taware.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>PT KEL conducted limited trenching at the Bawone prospect. Exploration focused mainly on the Taware and Binebase-Bawone areas.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9.2 2007-2009

Field work by EAMC on the Sangihe Property commenced in April 2007 when geodetic benchmark controlled baselines were established at both Binebase and Bawone and detailed mapping and trench sampling was completed at Binebase. Prospect scale geological mapping and rock sampling was concurrently completed at Bawone in 2007. Drilling commenced in August 2007 at Bawone and in November 2007 at Binebase and was completed in 2009. Table 4 lists the exploration activities carried out between 2007 and 2009.

Table 4: Exploration by EAMC 2007-2009

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Prospect</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Drilling</td>
<td>Binebase</td>
<td>62 holes (5,561 m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bawone</td>
<td>17 holes (2,000 m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Core Sampling</td>
<td>Binebase</td>
<td>4,289 samples</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bawone</td>
<td>1,217 samples</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Channel Sampling</td>
<td>Binebase</td>
<td>1,532 samples</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bawone</td>
<td>134 samples</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sede</td>
<td>188 samples</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kupa</td>
<td>156 samples</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IP dipole-dipole Survey</td>
<td>Binebase-Bawone area</td>
<td>55.83 line km</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sede</td>
<td>7.48 line km</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ground Magnetic Survey</td>
<td>Binebase-Bawone area</td>
<td>59.07 line km</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petrographic Study</td>
<td>Binebase-Bawone area</td>
<td>5 rock samples</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XRD Study</td>
<td>Binebase-Bawone area</td>
<td>77 pulp samples</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benchmarks</td>
<td>Binebase-Bawone area</td>
<td>7 points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sede-Kupa</td>
<td>8 points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Station Drill Collar Survey</td>
<td>Binebase-Bawone area</td>
<td>72 holes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
9.2.1 Geophysics

PT Geoservices conducted time-domain IP dipole-dipole surveys over the Binebase and Bawone deposits in December 2007. A small survey was also completed at the Sede prospect. A total of 48.3 line km of 50 m dipole-dipole IP data was collected at the Binebase and Bawone deposits over an area of 3.54 km² on lines spaced at 50 m. At the Sede prospect 7.48 line km of data were collected from lines spaced 25 to 50 m apart.

At Bawone, IP was able to distinguish anomalism through at least 30 m of post-mineralization Pintareng Formation. Resistivity results appear to define intrusive bodies (Figure 26) and when interpreted in conjunction with positive chargeability anomalies, correlated well with known mineralization and non-mineralised wallrock intrusions. Ashton Groups’ 1991-92 IP survey also records high chargeability response from the pyritic gold mineralization.

At Binebase the results were considered more difficult to relate to known mineralization probably because of the effects of strong oxidation of sulphide minerals and clay alteration that characterise the zones of gold mineralization.

![Figure 26. Chargeability (left) and Resistivity (right) maps. (Source: Stone, 2010)](image)

In 2008 a ground magnetic survey was completed by PT Geoservices. Survey lines were spaced at 50 m intervals with stations every 10 m. The reduced to pole data is shown in Figure 27 show a close spatial association of gold bearing sulphide mineralization with linear zones of low magnetic intensity. Similar low magnetic intensity zones occur to the northwest, southwest and southeast of known mineralization.
9.2.2 Petrological Studies

Paul Ashley Petrographic and Geological Services ("PAPGS") conducted petrographic analysis of a suite of 23 drill core samples from Bawone and Binebase in December 2008 (Ashley, 2008). Twelve samples were from drill holes BOD-1 and BOD-3 at Bawone, and eleven samples were from drill holes BID-13 and BID-34 at Binebase. The samples represented a selection of strongly sulphide mineralised material and a couple of supergene oxidised equivalents, as well as fresh to strongly altered volcanic host rocks.

X-Ray powder-diffraction studies were also conducted on 15 samples by the Department of Earth and Ocean Sciences at the University of British Columbia (Raudsepp & Pani, 2008).

PAPGS concluded that the overall array of alteration assemblages in the suite, with several samples of argillic, advanced argillic and silicic-pyritic alteration, is consistent with formation in a high sulphidation epithermal system.
Pyrite is the dominant and usually the only sulphide phase in the Binebase samples. Only traces of other sulphides have been observed, viz. chalcopyrite, covellite, digenite and tetrahedrite. PAPGS considered that this observation is potentially significant, given the commonly strongly anomalous Cu assay values and the associated strongly anomalous Ag and locally Pb, Zn and Sb values.

PAPGS noted that no discrete precious metal phase, specifically gold or electrum, was observed in any sample in the suite, despite careful observations under high magnification. This is again significant because of the commonly strongly anomalous (ore grade) Au and Ag assay values. PAPGS considered that explanations for this phenomenon include the possibility that gold occurs in sub-microscopic particles and/or that it is held chemically (invisibly) in (arsenian) pyrite. The same explanations might be relevant for the location of some of the Ag and base metal assay values. PAPGS concluded that these observations have relevance to metallurgical treatment of ore grade material and PAPGS strongly recommended that if a potentially economically viable gold resource is located, then metallurgical testwork is performed at the earliest feasible stage in order to better quantify the location(s) of gold and to devise strategies to optimise its recovery.

A few samples in the suite display supergene oxidation effects, with partial to locally complete oxidation of pyrite (and other sulphides). The occurrence of traces of covellite (and very rare digenite) in some samples might also be due to supergene effects imposed on enargite and chalcopyrite. No particulate gold has been observed in these samples.

PAPGS concluded that the effects of supergene oxidation point to potential environmental consequences of open pit mining if an economic resource were proven at Bawone and/or Binebase. The highly pyritic nature of the rocks and the absence of any significant buffering agencies (e.g. carbonate, feldspar) would ensure that acid production by oxidation would be very strong and that acid rock drainage would be a major consequence. It is predicted that such drainage would also contain high values of dissolved As and Cu.

9.2.3 Environmental Work

In January 2007, PT Hatfield Indonesia conducted an environmental baseline study of the project area. Hatfield’s work concluded that river water samples from watersheds in areas of artisanal mining were not contaminated with heavy metals.

Local mercury “hot spots” were found in river bottom sediments near existing alluvial mining activities in the Taware area. Evidence of mercury contamination was also found in soil samples collected from the Binebase - Bawone area. Mercury levels in stream biota were found to be variable with the highest levels in eel (0.53 mg/kg) being slightly above the limit of 0.5 mg/kg set by British Columbia, Canada, but below the 1 mg/kg set by the European Union and the US Food and Drug Administration.

Hatfield concluded that the level of mercury in samples did not appear to be a serious health concern but did note that unprotected handling of the metal by artisanal miners was likely to pose health risks to those individuals.
Although first-growth forest and original vegetation was deemed to no longer exist in the area, Hatfield recommended that EAMC minimise further disturbance of the vegetation from its drilling program and maintain careful management and monitoring of its operations.

**9.3 2010-2012**

EAMC contracted GPX Survey of Western Australia to undertake a helicopter based airborne magnetic survey in May 2011. The 2,232 line kilometre survey covered the entire Sangihe block area at a line spacing of 100 m. After ground truthing of the data, GPX Survey interpreted prospective areas corresponding with magnetic low zones (Figure 28).

![Figure 28. Interpreted prospective areas corresponding with low intensity magnetic zones. High intensity magnetic zones correspond to NW-SE trending late andesite intrusions. (Source: GPX Survey, 2011)](image)

**9.4 2012-2013**

Exploration activities resumed in late 2012 with a 4,600 m drilling program at Binebase, Bawone and Taware and rock chip sampling and geological mapping programs. Rock chip and geological mapping programs were undertaken at the new West Bawone prospect, Kupa prospect, Salurang prospect, Kalemba, Bonzos, Brown Sugar and Taware.

Drilling program details are covered in Item 10.

**9.5 INTERPRETATION OF EXPLORATION INFORMATION**

Based on the 2011 airborne magnetic survey, EAMC identified 10 new exploration targets within the Sangihe project area (Figure 29).
Synthesis of previous exploration information has defined 5 prospective target areas (Binebase-Salurang Corridor, Upper Taware Valley/Kelapa/Kupa, Taware Ridge and Mou-Ninja, Hadakel Kecil, Sawang Kecil) recommended for a range of mapping, sampling and ground geophysical surveys (magnetics and IP) that have not been subject to significant drill testing. There are also opportunities for geophysical reprocessing of old data.

Figure 29. Target areas - Reduced to Pole (RTP) airborne magnetic survey. (Source: EAMC, 2011)
10 DRILLING

A summary of drilling different prospects and time periods is shown in Table 5.

Table 5: Summary drilling details from Sangihe

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prospect</th>
<th>Company</th>
<th>No. drill holes</th>
<th>Metres Drilled</th>
<th>Dates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Binebase and Bawone</td>
<td>PT MSM/Ashton Mining</td>
<td>not available</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>1990-1993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Binebase</td>
<td>EAMC</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>5,561.1</td>
<td>2007-2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EAMC</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>2,570.3</td>
<td>2011-2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EAMC</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>2,484.7</td>
<td>2012-2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bawone</td>
<td>EAMC</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2,003.55</td>
<td>2007-2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EAMC</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>466.70</td>
<td>2011-2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EAMC</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>975.5</td>
<td>2012-2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>EAMC</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>not available</td>
<td>2007-2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Various</td>
<td>not available</td>
<td>2,525</td>
<td>1986-1997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taware</td>
<td>PT MSM + Bre-X</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>9,614</td>
<td>1994-1997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taware</td>
<td>EAMC</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1,703.4</td>
<td>2012-2013</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

10.1 TRENCHING

EAMC excavated 35 trenches totalling 1,492 m at Binebase and 7 trenches totalling 126m at Bawone during 2007-2009. Trenches were excavated using an excavator or by hand.

10.2 DRILLING PRE-2007

Core drilling has occurred since the establishment of the CoW in 1986. Detailed drill program results for drilling conducted prior to 2007 have not been witnessed by MA.

10.3 DRILLING 2007-2009

Drilling commenced in August 2007 at Bawone and in November 2007 at Binebase (Figure 30 and Figure 31). Drilling was performed by PT Asia Drill Bara Utama using a man portable AD-250 drill rig. Drilling targets were in part defined by anomalies identified from the dipole-dipole IP surveys completed in 2007. Additional drilling was completed at Binebase in 2008 using a more regular pattern drill approach. A complete description of the 2007-2009 drilling program is given in Stone (2010). EAMC completed the drill program at Sangihe in 2008, which included 7,561 m of drilling in 79 shallow holes at the Binebase and Bawone deposits.
Figure 30. Bawone Deposit – 2007-2009 drilling.
(Source: Stone, 2010)

Figure 31. Binebase Deposit – 2007-2009 drilling.
(Source: Stone, 2010)
10.4 DRILLING 2011-2012

Drilling at Sangihe re-commenced in 2011. The drilling program consisted of 39 diamond drill holes at Binebase and 4 at Bawone. Drill collars were surveyed by PT Geo Padma Sarana using Total Station equipment. This drilling was conducted by contractor PT Indodrill using a drill rig with a capacity of 250 m of NQ coring. Holes were collared and drilled to ~100 m depth with HQ core, then completed by NQ coring. Holes were inclined between 45° and 70° on varying azimuths. Downhole surveys were conducted using a Camteq Proshot Survey Instrument.

Upon completion, drill hole collars were marked with a concrete pad and the hole identification, orientation and end of hole depth were etched into the surface (Figure 32).

10.5 DRILLING 2012-2013

Diamond drilling at Sangihe re-commenced in December 2012 and finished in April 2013. The 2013 drilling program consisted of 41 diamond drill holes; 25 at Binebase, 6 at Bawone and 10 at Taware.

10.5.1 Drill Hole Details

Signed permission forms were received from local chiefs for drill collar locations on their land and compensation arranged for disturbances. Drill pad access roads were cleared using parangs and minor excavator work. Drill pads were mostly hand cleared level surfaces of about 10 m x 8 m. Two hand dug, poly lined sumps of about 2 mx2 mx1 m were constructed at each drill hole.

A total of 5,163.6 m was drilled during the 2012-2013 drilling. Drillhole lengths ranged from 46.5 m to 225.5 m. Drill hole dips ranged between -55° to -75°, but were commonly -60°. All drill holes were completed by diamond drilling methods. Drill holes were collared PQ size until about 30 m, cased off, and continued until end of hole in HQ. Down hole surveys were taken every 15 m using a Reflex EZ Shot instrument. Upon completion, the drill hole collars were marked with a concrete pad with the hole identification, orientation and end of hole depth etched into the surface.
10.5.2 Drilling

Drilling was performed by PT Maxidrill Indonesia (Jakarta) using MD195 man-portable and MD200-track mounted drill rigs. The MD195 averaged about 28 m/active day and the MD200 averaged about 32 m/active day over the entire program.

Recovery and RQD measurements were performed by local EAMC staff members at the drill rig site. Core was carefully loaded into appropriately sized core trays and transferred to the Bowone base camp core shed via Toyota Hilux utility vehicles. Core trays were covered with a lid and the lid tightly fastened with plastic ribbon to preserve the integrity of the samples.

10.6 Accuracy & Reliability

10.6.1 2007-2009 Drilling

Average core recovery for the 2007-2009 diamond drilling at Binebase and Bawone was 90.3 % and 92.26 % respectively. Table 6 lists core recovery statistics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recovery</th>
<th>Binebase</th>
<th></th>
<th>Bawone</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Samples</td>
<td>% of Total</td>
<td>Samples</td>
<td>% of Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;80% recovery</td>
<td>1,582</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80-99% recovery</td>
<td>1,091</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>322</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100% recovery</td>
<td>5,216</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>1,363</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>7,889</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>1,961</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

10.6.2 2011-2012 Drilling

Average core recovery for the 2011-2012 diamond drilling at Binebase and Bawone was 97.4 % and 99.6 % respectively. Table 7 lists core recovery statistics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recovery</th>
<th>Binebase</th>
<th></th>
<th>Bawone</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Samples</td>
<td>% of Total</td>
<td>Samples</td>
<td>% of Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;80% recovery</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80-99% recovery</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100% recovery</td>
<td>2,077</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>335</td>
<td>96%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>2,421</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>348</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

10.6.3 2012-2013 Drilling

Average core recovery for the 2012-2013 diamond drilling at Binebase and Bawone was 98.85 % and 96.55 % respectively. Table 8 lists core recovery statistics.
Table 8: 2012-2013 Core Recovery Statistics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recovery</th>
<th>Binebase</th>
<th>Bawone</th>
<th>Taware</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Samples</td>
<td>% of Total</td>
<td>Samples</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;80% recovery</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80-99% recovery</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100% recovery</td>
<td>2,162</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>749</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>2,375</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>848</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

10.7 COLLAR PLAN & REPRESENTATIVE SECTIONS

Figure 33 shows the drill collar plan for the Bawone deposit and Figure 34 shows the drill collar plan for the Binebase deposit. Figure 35 and Figure 36 show representative cross sections through the same deposits.

Figure 33. Bawone drill collar plan showing 2012-2013 drill holes in red and 2007-2011 drill holes in blue.
Figure 34: Binebase drill collar plan showing 2012-2013 drill holes in red and 2007-2011 drill holes pre-2012 in blue.
11 SAMPLE PREPARATION, ANALYSES AND SECURITY

The procedures and preparation details detailed in this section relate to exploration activities undertaken from 2011 to 2013. Detailed procedures, sample preparation and QA/QC for exploration activities from 2007 to 2010 are reported by Stone (2010).

11.1 SAMPLE PROCEDURES

11.1.1 Surface Sampling

Soil, trench, channel and rock chip sampling has been conducted in the Sangihe Project area. MA has not seen standard operating procedures pertaining to these methods or observed them. These samples are not used in the resource calculation.

11.1.2 Drill Core Processing

Core trays arriving from the drill rigs are processed at the Bawone core shed by trained local EAMC staff. Processing involves metre marking the drill core; core tray mark up with Hole ID, Tray Number, From (m) and To (m); specific gravity measurements and core photography.

Metre marking involves drawing a black line around the circumference of the core at a measured metre mark. These lines are helpful for logging depths and for core cutting. The drillers’ core blocks are also reconciled during this step to ensure the correct meterage is being recorded.
Core tray mark-up involved writing on the end of the core tray the From and To metres of the contained drill core, the core tray sequence number and the drill Hole ID (Figure 37). The Start depth, end depth, Hole ID and core tray sequence number was drawn on the top edge of the core tray.

Density measurements used a 20 cm length of drill core cut at every 5 m interval. SG measurements employed the water displacement method. Details of the SG sampling method are described in Section 11.1.5.

Core photography was performed on a purpose made rack (Figure 38). Two core trays were supported at a small angle on the rack which also displayed the Date, Hole ID, From and To metres and core tray sequence numbers. Core photographs were taken wet and the images saved on the base camp server with their appropriate labels.

11.1.3 Drill Core Sampling Procedure

Drill core cutting and sampling was performed at the Bawone base camp core shed by trained and authorised locals on a roster basis supervised by an authorised local staff member. Visibly mineralised or suspected mineralised core was sampled in nominal 1 m lengths whereas adjacent barren core was sampled in 2 m lengths. Sample lengths were adjusted if major changes in mineralization, alteration, or lithology were noted during logging or where core loss occurred. Core was sampled over several metres on both sides of each observed mineralised zone.

After selecting the length of core to be sampled a line was drawn down the middle of the core and the selected segment sawn in half along the line using a Sandvik 3C1410 gasoline-powered diamond core saw (Figure 39). The core saw was washed between samples to prevent contamination. Soft or friable core was split with a knife. Broken core was sampled with a scoop, which was washed between samples. The left hand side of the cut core was consistently sampled (Figure 40). Half the sawed, split or scooped core was sent for assaying and the remaining half returned to the tray.
Where a duplicate sample was taken the left hand side was sampled as a duplicate and the right hand sample was the original.

The half core interval for assaying was placed in a labelled calico bag together with an EAMC number tag. EAMC used the following format, “DC0000000SGH” for sample labelling (Figure 41). DC is for Drill Core, then a seven digit sequential number followed by the project area code (SGH) for Sangihe. Calico bags were tied off with their cotton thread and placed in small cardboard boxes. These cardboard boxes were enclosed by a white polyweave bag with bag number, sample number, from and depths and number of samples recorded on the polyweave bag. The polyweave bag was secured with plastic ribbon along its seams and made ready for transport (Figure 42). The remaining core was stored in labelled and stacked core trays at EAMC’s guarded and maintained base camp at Bawone.

Coarse crush and pulp rejects from laboratory sample preparation were stored sequentially in labelled boxes in a secured facility in Manado.

**Figure 39**: Core saw being used at Sangihe.

**Figure 40**: Core sampling.

**Figure 41**: Label example.

**Figure 42**: Samples packaged ready for transport.
11.1.4 QA/QC Sample Preparation

QA/QC standard and blank samples were prepared at the Bowone base camp. QA/QC sampling used certified reference material from Geostats Pty Ltd. These reference materials were stored in sealed, clearly labelled, plastic and glass containers. Standard and blanks samples were prepared in batches, usually once a month during drilling and involved three local crew members following the below procedure:

- Clean the work area by dusting and mopping.
- Create individually labelled sampling spoons and store in labelled, sealed zip lock bags
- Open standard container and spoon sample into small, appropriately labelled sample bag, to about 75 g sample.
- Seal sample bag immediately and record standard number and standard type in the appropriate spreadsheet
- When the same sample ID is finished clean all equipment and mop work area.

MA notes the following actions were taken to help reduce sampling contamination:

- All sampling was supervised by a site geologist
- Crew members worked their own individual stations
- Sampling was performed in a clean, enclosed and wind free room
- Clear labelling and storage
- Separate sampling tools for separate reference materials helped reduce cross contamination

11.1.5 Density Sampling

Density measurements from diamond drill core for the 2011-2011 and 2012-2013 drilling programs involved the selection of 20 cm core lengths at 5 m intervals ensuring that the sample was selected from the same lithology and alteration type. An example of the density log sheet used by EAMC is shown in Table 9. Dry weight (after oven drying) of the core is recorded (W1), and then the sample is wrapped in plastic film and re-weighed in water (W2a). The sample is then unwrapped, submerged and weighed again (W2b) and finally the wet sample is weighed out of water (W3). Density is calculated using the following equations:

- Density (dry)  \( \frac{W1}{W1-W2a} \)
- Density (wet)  \( \frac{W1}{W3-W2b} \)
11.2 SECURITY - SAMPLE TRANSPORTATION

Typically within two to three days after core logging and sampling the packaged samples are transferred to Tahuna via a hired utility vehicle (enclosed roof) where they are transported by commercial ferry and then to the appropriate sample preparation facility in Manado. The samples are accompanied by EAMC personnel the entire journey.

11.3 SAMPLE ANALYSES

11.3.1 2011-2012 (SGS)

11.3.1.1 Preparation

Samples for the 2011-2012 drilling program were shipped and accompanied by EAMC to Manado where the samples were prepared in an SGS Indonesia (“SGS”) preparation facility in Manado according to the steps outlined in Figure 43. After preparation the samples were shipped by SGS to their laboratory in Balikpapan for analysis.
11.3.1.2 Lab Accreditation

SGS at Balikpapan was the primary analysis laboratory for samples from Sangihe’s pre-2013 drilling programs (Photo 27). SGS is accredited for ISO/IEC 17025:2005 by Standards Council of Canada. ISO/IEC 17025 is the main standard used by testing and calibration laboratories. SGS Indonesia is regularly audited by SGS quality personnel and participates regularly in the SGS LQSi IRR program as well as many other independent IRR programs like Geostats.

ALS Group (“ALS”) was used as a “check” laboratory for EAMC during the 2011-2012 drilling program. ALS is accredited for ISO/IEC 17025:2005 and ISO 9001 by Standards Council of Canada.

11.3.1.3 Analysis

SGS sample analysis for gold used the FAA505 method, which is a lead collection fire assay of a 50 g sample with an atomic absorption spectroscopy (“AAS”) finish. Gold values between 0.1 and 100 g/t are reported using this method. Over limit results are re-assayed using the FAG505 method, which is
also a lead collection fire assay (50 g sample), but uses a gravimetric finish. The reporting range for gold analysed by this method is 0.5-100,000 ppm. Repeat analyses on low and high gold assays are routinely performed by the laboratory as part of its quality assurance and quality control procedures (QA/QC). BLEG analyses, using a cyanide leach for 0.5 hours on 6 g of pulp (analytical code: BLE64F) is undertaken on every sample that provides a fire assay result >0.5 g/t Au. This is undertaken to give a qualitative idea of the leachability of the pulverised gold samples. It cannot be regarded as a rigorous or accurate metallurgical test). Base metals and other elements are determined with an aqua regia acid digestion and AAS. Lower detection limits for the other six elements are 0.2 ppm Ag, 1 ppm Cu, 2 ppm Pb, 1 ppm Zn, 5 ppm As and 5 ppm Sb.

11.3.2  2012-2013 (ITS)

11.3.2.1 Preparation

The 2012-2013 drill core samples were delivered to PT Intertek Utama Services (“ITS”) prep facility in Manado.

The samples were dried at 105°C and weighed when dry. The samples were crushed with a jaw crusher to -6mm and split using a Jones riffle splitter. One eighth of the material was stored. Seven eights were crushed with a Boyd crusher to -2mm. An aliquot of 1,000g is pulverised to -75 μm. A portion of 200g was used for analysis and the remainder was stored as pulp residue.

After preparation, the samples were shipped by ITS to their laboratory in Jakarta for analysis.

11.3.2.2 Lab Accreditation

PT Intertek Utama Services laboratory in Jakarta, Indonesia (“ITS”) were used for the 2012-2013 drill sample analysis by EAMC. ITS is accredited for ISO/IEC 17025:2005 by Standards Council of Canada.

11.3.2.3 Analysis

Analyses for gold were done at ITS using the FA50 method, a fire assay procedure with AAS finish (50 g nominal sample weight). The gold detection and reporting range is 0.005-100 ppm Au. Repeat analyses on low and high gold assays were routinely performed by the laboratory as part of its quality assurance and quality control procedures (QA/QC). Lower detection limits for the other elements are 0.2 ppm Ag, 2 ppm Cu, 4 ppm Pb, 0.01 % Zn, 2 ppm As, 1 ppm Sb and 0.01 % S.

11.4 QUALITY ASSURANCE & QUALITY CONTROL (QA/QC) PROGRAM

Quality Assurance (“QA”) concerns the establishment of measurement systems and procedures to provide adequate confidence that quality is adhered to. Quality Control (“QC”) is one aspect of QA and refers to the use of control checks of the measurements to ensure the systems are working as planned.

QC terms commonly used to discuss geochemical data are:

- Precision: how close the assay result is to that of a repeat or duplicate of the same sample, i.e. the reproducibility of assay results.
- Accuracy: how close the assay result is to the expected result (of a certified standard).
• Bias: the amount by which the analysis varies from the expected result.

The following control checks are considered as a minimum standard for QC programs:

• Accuracy: Certified Reference Materials (“CRM” or “Standards”, “STD”) assess accuracy.
• Low, medium and high grade CRM are usually added at a planned rate of 1 every 20 samples or 5%.
• Precision or reproducibility: Duplicate Samples assess precision.
• Field Duplicates (“FD”): Both samples are inserted into the sampling stream (with consecutive numbers) and prepared and assayed like any other sample. This sample is used to monitor sample batches for poor sample management, contamination and tampering and laboratory precision.
• Coarse splits or crusher duplicates: Usually the second half of every 20th to 50th crusher or first splits is collected by the laboratory (under instruction of the client) and processed as a coarse split duplicate. This sample is used to monitor sample batches for poor sample management, contamination and tampering and laboratory precision.
• Contamination: Field Blanks (“FB”) assess contamination.
• Samples of a “blank”, known to contain low level of economically interesting metals are inserted into the sample stream, preferably with known mineralised samples. Field blanks are usually inserted at a planned rate of one every 20 samples. Blanks can be either unmineralised rock (in term of the target metal) or CRM blanks.
• Bias: Referee Laboratory duplicates assess bias.
• At least 5% of the total analysed duplicates (“replicates”), i.e. sample pulps and coarse splits, are sent for duplicate assay to another independent laboratory. The results are then plotted against the original laboratory results to check for anomalous results, contamination or equipment failure or calibration trends (bias).
• In addition, independent laboratories conduct their own internal QA/QC which is independent of the client QA/QC, consisting of CRM analysis, blanks, duplicate assaying and repeats along with the primary sample analysis.

11.5 SANGIHE PROJECT QA/QC ASSESSMENT (2007-2013 DRILLING)

The following section includes QA/QC analysis for all drilling programs at Sangihe since 2007. Separate 2007-2009 drilling QA/QC analysis is documented in Stone (2010).

11.5.1 QA/QC Sample Insertions

Table 10 lists the QC insertion statistics for all Sangihe drilling programs since 2007 (for 15,545 samples in 167 drill holes).
The following comments are made from MA’s review of the QAQC data:

- CRM insertion rates are adequate for current mineral resource estimate categories, but are below industry standards. MA notes that CRM insertion rates (4.4%) for EAMC’s most recent drilling phase (2012-2013) meet industry standards.

- Field and CRM Blank insertion rates are slightly below industry standards but are considered adequate for current mineral resource estimate categories.

- Duplicate insertion rates are adequate for current mineral resource estimate categories, but are below industry standards. MA notes that duplicate insertion rates (4.66%) for EAMC’s most recent drilling phase (2012-2013) meet industry standards.

- Umpire replicate insertion rates are considered adequate for current mineral resource estimate categories, but are below industry standards. A round of umpire replicates is recommended.

11.5.2 Standards

Accuracy is identifying the true grade of a sample, achieved by submitting certified reference material (“CRM”) commonly referred to as standards (“STD”).

EAMC used eight (8) standards sourced from independent laboratory Geostats Pty Ltd to assess the accuracy of gold analyses (Table 11). MA notes these CRM’s are well selected to encompass low, medium and high grade gold values. Standards GBMS304-4 and GBMS304-5 were also certified for silver, copper, lead, zinc, sulphur and arsenic analyses. Results for these other elements were also checked as part of EAMC’s quality control program.

The performance gates listed in Table 11 are based on two and three standard deviations (“SD”). As an industry guide these performance gates identify possible failed results (i.e. values outside expected value +/- 2 SD), and failed results (values outside expected value +/- 3SD).
Table 11: Performance Criteria for Gold Standards, Fire Assay Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STD_ID</th>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>1SD</th>
<th>MINUS_1 SD</th>
<th>PLUS_1 SD</th>
<th>MINUS_2 SD</th>
<th>PLUS_2 SD</th>
<th>MINUS_3 SD</th>
<th>PLUS_3 SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>G301-2</td>
<td>Au</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G903-10</td>
<td>Au</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G907-2</td>
<td>Au</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>1.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G910-1</td>
<td>Au</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>1.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G903-1</td>
<td>Au</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>9.27</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>8.92</td>
<td>9.62</td>
<td>8.57</td>
<td>9.97</td>
<td>8.22</td>
<td>10.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G301-1</td>
<td>Au</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GBMS304-5</td>
<td>Au</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>1.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GBMS304-4</td>
<td>Au</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>5.67</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>5.36</td>
<td>5.98</td>
<td>5.05</td>
<td>6.29</td>
<td>4.74</td>
<td>6.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

11.5.2.1 G301-1

Of the 276 G301-1 analyses, only 138 data points were used for assessment. The remaining analyses used a leach method (BLE64F) instead of the fire assay method that the standard has been certified for.

G301-1 fire assay analyses are consistently slightly below the certified gold grade of 0.85 ppm, indicating a slight negative bias. However, all but one of the data points lie within the acceptable 3SD performance gate (Figure 44). This individual sample cannot be explained by a labelling error or sample mix up (i.e. value is not similar to any other standards). Overall, the G301-1 data is acceptable and the individual failure is considered a statistical outlier.

![Figure 44: Standard G301-1 Results.](image)

11.5.2.2 G301-2

Of the 112 G301-2 analyses, only 56 used the same digest and analytical method that the standard was certified for (Figure 45). Fire assay analyses average slightly above the certified value (1.46 ppm Au) for this medium-high grade gold standard suggesting a slight positive bias. However, all of the data points are within the acceptable limits and the results for this standard are deemed acceptable.
11.5.2.3 G903-1

Of the 12 data points, only 6 are used for the assessment of this very high grade gold standard (9.27 ppm Au, Figure 46). This small count is not enough to provide a robust assessment but will give an indication. All the data points are below the expected value indicating a negative bias but are within the acceptable limits. One result was just outside the -2SD performance limits. This individual sample cannot be explained by a labelling error or sample mix up. Overall, the G903-1 data is acceptable and the individual failure is considered a statistical outlier.

11.5.2.4 G903-10

Of the 292 data points for this low grade gold standard (0.22 ppm Au, Figure 47), only 146 are used for this assessment. The data points show no bias and are all within the acceptable limits.
11.5.2.5 G907-2

Of the 140 analyses of this medium grade (0.89 ppm Au, Figure 48) standard only 43 used the same analytical method and digest as the standard was certified for. These data points are consistently slightly below the expected mean suggesting a small negative bias. However, all the data points are within the acceptable limit.

11.5.2.6 G910-1

Of the 80 data points for this medium-high grade gold standard (1.43 ppm Au, Figure 49) only 24 show a similar analysis method and digest to the standard; these 24 are used in this assessment. The data points are consistently slightly below the expected mean suggesting a small negative bias. There are two data points that lie outside the 2SD limits and, although not an automatic failure (i.e. outside 3SD), they still require investigation. No labelling error or sample mix up could be identified.
and these standards were from different batches whose standards have been deemed acceptable. Overall, the G910-1 data is acceptable and the two examined data points are considered statistical outliers.

![Figure 49: Standard G910-1 Results.](image)

11.5.2.7 GBMS304-4

This multi-element standard assesses the precision of gold, silver, copper, lead, zinc and arsenic. Overall, the standard is deemed acceptable. Observational points regarding these elements are described below:

- Gold shows no bias from the 8 analyses with similar methods and digests to the standard and all are within acceptable limits indicating it is acceptable.
- Silver analyses were close the detection limit of the analytical method used, but were all within acceptable limits.
- Copper, lead, zinc and arsenic all show a small positive bias (i.e. above expected value) but all analyses fall within acceptable limits.

11.5.2.8 GBMS304-5

This multi-element standard assesses the precision of gold, silver, copper, lead, zinc, arsenic and sulphur. Overall, the standard is deemed acceptable however arsenic is a failure. Observational points regarding these elements are described below:

- Gold shows a small positive bias but all data points are within the acceptable limits and it is deemed acceptable.
- Silver analyses were close the detection limit of the analytical method used, but were all within acceptable limits.
- Copper shows no bias. There is one failure. No labelling or sample mix up could be identified, and the original lab results (MS Excel) show no errors. Records indicate that this batch was
re-assayed, however the new results for copper still appears to be a failure. This element is deemed acceptable and the one failure is considered a statistical outlier.

- Lead shows a moderate positive bias with one failure. This failure correlates to the same batch (drill hole SED003) as the copper failure above. The re-assayed lead values are recorded as a failure. This element is deemed acceptable and the one failure is considered a statistical outlier.

- Zinc shows a small negative bias with one failure. This failure corresponds to drill hole BID015 (not the same batch as the above failures). No label error or sample mix up could be identified and the original lab results (MS Excel) match. This element is deemed acceptable and the one failure is considered a statistical outlier.

- Arsenic shows a strong negative bias with 8 failures. No labelling errors or sample mix up could be identified for the failures. This element is considered a failure in the GBMS304-5 standard.

- Sulphur shows a small negative bias. All data points are within the acceptable limits and is deemed acceptable.

11.5.3 Blanks

EAMC used two types of blanks to test for contamination; standard blanks and field blanks.

11.5.3.1 Standard Blanks – GLG302-4

GLG302-4 from Geostats Pty Ltd is a low level gold reference with a mean of 3.23 ppb; well below the detection limit (0.01) of the atomic absorption method and fire assay digest methods used for these analyses. The 185 data points for this standard are presented in Figure 50. This figure highlights that all the data points were below detection and have been assigned the detection limit. GLG302-4 is deemed acceptable and no contamination bias is evident.

![Figure 50: Standard Blanks – Au Results.](image)
11.5.3.2 Field Blank

A total of 556 field blanks were inserted. The field blank raw data for gold (Figure 51) show two populations; corresponding to two different labs (different detection limits) used over the course of the different drilling programs. The data highlight two outliers. The first sample recording a result of 0.09 ppm appears to be contamination or data entry error. The sample before it also has a gold result of 0.09 ppm so the lab has perhaps copied the same gold number across. As a check, a blank sample inserted 32 samples before this failure passed suggesting if it is due to contamination it is only localised. The other outlier sample recorded a gold value of 0.23 ppm. The sample previous also recorded a gold value of 0.23 ppm perhaps indicating a lab data entry error again. As a check, a standard sample inserted 10 samples before this outlier passed suggesting that if it is contamination it is localised. Since both the outliers have the same value as the sample before them it appears that lab data entry is the cause of these two outliers. Overall, the field blanks are acceptable.

![Field Blanks - Au](image)

Figure 51: Field Blanks – Au Results.

Silver analyses for the field blank were all below 5x detection limit acceptable range. Two analyses were above the detection limit but below 5x detection limit: one corresponds to the same sample number as the proposed data entry error for gold, and the other, after investigation, appears to be a statistical outlier. Silver data for the field blank is deemed acceptable.

11.5.4 Duplicates

For drill core, duplication refers to two volumetrically identical samples collected from exactly the same location downhole. Thus duplicate pairs are equally representative of the original sample interval. Duplicate sampling at Sanighe follows important criterion for duplication (equal size and volume) by sampling and submitting duplicate ¼ core samples for the same downhole location.
When interpreting field duplicate only precision (repeatability) is important. Precision is assessed using the Med-APD (Absolute Percentage Difference) which is a robust and unbiased estimation of the standard deviation particularly the two sigma precision. Med-APD is generated by the equation:

\[
\text{Med-APD} = \frac{\text{Mean of all APD values}}{\text{APD}=\left(\left(\frac{\text{absolute}(x_1-x_2)}{\text{mean}(x_1+x_2)}\right) \times 100\right)\times 2}
\]

Where \(x_1\) is the assay value of the original sample and \(x_2\) is the assay value of the duplicate sample. This equation is based on the formulation of precision by Thompson and Howarth (1978) and an evolution of the “HARD” method (Shaw et al., 1998). When assessing duplicates a Med-APD of <35 % is generally acceptable.

All data was filtered to be above 10x detection limit. Assay values <10x detection limit cause significant errors and give a false indication of precision as most of the variance will be related to these samples. Gold and silver analyses at Binebase and Bawone showed acceptable precision, with Med-APD values less than 35%. Lead and zinc at Binebase, and antimony and arsenic at Bawone were less precise (Figure 52), probably reflecting a more heterogeneous occurrence style than gold.

**11.5.5 Replicates**

Inter-lab replicates have been used twice by EAMC. A total of 214 drill core pulps originally assayed by SGS Indonesia were re-assayed by ALS Chemex (28 samples) and PT Intertek Utama Services (ITS) (186 samples). Similar to duplicates, Med-APD is used to assess the precision between the two labs for the same sample. Data points <10x detection limit have been removed.

The SGS vs ALS results show good precision with all the gold and silver data below the recommended 35 % limit (Figure 53). The SGS vs ITS results show good precision with all the gold and copper data below the recommend 35 % limit. All the silver data for SGS vs ITS was below the 10x detection limit.
11.5.6 Laboratory QA/QC

Independent laboratories conduct their own internal QA/QC usually consisting of CRM testing, duplicate assaying and assay repeats along with the primary sample analysis. MA has not reviewed these results.

11.5.7 Authors Opinion

EAMC adopted QA/QC protocols in line with mineral industry standard practice. Protocols involved analysis of certified reference materials (standards), certified blank samples, field blanks, field duplicates and referee laboratory check analysis of pulp duplicates.

The above described QA/QC assessment is considered adequate for the determination of accuracy and precision. EAMC’s QA/QC program is acceptable for the purposes of this report but could be improved with the addition of the following:

- continued use of umpire laboratory re-assay but with the use of equivalent assay techniques
- use of principal laboratory analytical methods that match the same method as the CRM being used
- investigate use of a different material field blank that has a lower copper content

EAMC’s quality control preparation and sampling procedures reflect industry best practice with an awareness to reduce contamination and precision error. EAMC employ satisfactory SOP’s to help reduce sample labelling error and sample mix-up.

Overall, given the accuracy and precision of the results provided, the QA/QC program implemented by EAMC is considered acceptable for a mineral resource definition stage. It is MA’s opinion that the sample preparation, security and analytical procedures are sufficiently adequate for the purposes of the current mineral resource estimation.
12 DATA VERIFICATION

12.1 SITE VISIT

Mr Anthony Woodward of Brisbane, visited the Binebase, Bawone and Taware areas on Sangihe Island from 11 to 13 September 2012. In the course of the site visit, Mr Woodward viewed mineralised drill core and drill hole collars for both Binebase and Bawone deposits and visited the drill core processing and storage facility at the base camp near Bawone. He viewed and sampled the mineralised vein systems and outcrops.

12.2 INDEPENDENT SAMPLES

Mr Woodward collected two independent samples from surface outcrop exposures (Figure 54 and Figure 55).

Table 12 lists the samples and description and Table 13 lists the assay results.

![Figure 54: Collar of drill hole BID083.](image)

![Figure 55: MA sample site at Bawone.](image)

Table 12: MA Independent Sample Descriptions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample ID</th>
<th>Sample Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6700401</td>
<td>Bawone (Brown Sugar) deposit outcrop in old sample trench near BOD090. 794186mE, 384854mN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6700402</td>
<td>Binebase deposit outcrop in old sample trench. 793699mE, 386243mN</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 13: MA Independent Sample Assay results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Au1</th>
<th>Au2</th>
<th>Ag</th>
<th>Cu</th>
<th>Pb</th>
<th>Zn</th>
<th>As</th>
<th>Sb</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Units</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>ppm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Det. Lim.</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Method</td>
<td>FA50</td>
<td>FA50</td>
<td>GA02</td>
<td>GA02</td>
<td>GA02</td>
<td>GA02</td>
<td>IC01</td>
<td>IC01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6700401</td>
<td>0.692</td>
<td>0.658</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>349</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>575</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6700402</td>
<td>2.41</td>
<td>2.36</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>1060</td>
<td>446</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Assay results shown in Table 13 are consistent with grades of gold and silver mineralization from EAMC exploration data.
12.3 DATABASE VERIFICATION

EAMC data tables were supplied to MA in MS Excel format. EAMC do not operate a relational database for the Sangihe project. These data tables were imported into Microsoft Access to create the drill holes used for the Mineral Resource estimate for Binebase and Bawone. Database integrity checks were run with Gemcom Surpac™. No data entry errors were detected. Geostatistical analyses were run as part of the resource estimation procedure and no anomalous database issues were noted.

12.4 LIMITATIONS ON VERIFICATION

Core sampling, analytical and QA/QC protocols used by EAMC at Sangihe are in line with industry practice. There are no limitations on the verification of the data used in the resource estimation.

No recent site visit has been undertaken and Mr Woodward (QP) is satisfied that no material exploration work has occurred on the project since April 2013.

12.5 OPINION ON ADEQUACY OF DATA

MA is of the opinion that the ranges of gold and copper values reported by EAMC are representative of the values that can be expected from the Sangihe Project deposits. It is MA’s opinion that the data is adequate for the mineral resource estimation described in this report.

13 MINERAL PROCESSING AND METALLURGICAL TESTING

According to an EAMC new release on 18 September 2007, Sangihe channel samples assayed with greater than 0.5 g/t Au were tested for cyanide leach recoveries by SGS Indonesia. Preliminary cyanide leach tests of Bawone samples returned gold recoveries of 91.2% to 92.4% for oxidized and partially oxidised material. Binebase samples returned recoveries of 85.3% to 94.7% with the extraction rate being for lower grade gold material.

No metallurgical testwork has been reported for sulphide samples. However, preliminary microscopic analysis of sulphide material indicates that gold is contained within pyrite as very small inclusions. This is likely to adversely affect recoveries using normal grinding and cyanide leaching techniques.

No other metallurgical test work results since 2007 have been supplied to MA.
14 MINERAL RESOURCE ESTIMATES

This is the second NI 43-101 mineral resource estimate for the Sangihe Project reported by EAMC. A previous estimate was produced in September 2010 and reported by Stone (2010).

14.1 ESTIMATION METHODOLOGY

14.1.1 Supplied Data

MA was supplied with historical drill hole data from 2007 up to 2013. Data was supplied as MS Excel spreadsheets. Data from the 2012-2013 drilling was supplied as individual MS Excel spreadsheets at the completion of drilling (last data 28/05/2013). A MS Access database was compiled from historic and recent drilling data MS Excel spreadsheets. The database MA created was titled MA_Surpac_Sangihe_DB. Database table names and descriptions used for this Mineral Resource Estimate are described in Table 14. File names for the data are supplied in Appendix 2. A summary of the drill holes used in this Mineral Resource Estimate are presented in Appendix 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table Name</th>
<th>Records</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>COLLAR</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>Easting, northing and elevations of drillhole collars in regional (UTM zone 51N) grid coordinates (WGS84).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALTERATION</td>
<td>6711</td>
<td>Type of alteration with depth interval down hole (from and to). Uses pre-set codes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASSAY_INTERVAL_FINAL</td>
<td>14,156</td>
<td>Assay results by sample ID for samples from downhole intervals (from and to depths with drillhole ID). Au results listed for all samples with Ag, As, Cu and Hg results for different sample subsets.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LITHOLOGY</td>
<td>1308</td>
<td>Interpreted lithology type with depth interval down hole (from and to). Tabulated from drillhole logging. Uses pre-set codes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OXIDATION</td>
<td>334</td>
<td>Oxidation intensity with depth interval down hole (from and to). Codes can be “OX”, “UOX”.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SURVEY</td>
<td>575</td>
<td>Measured magnetic azimuth and calculated AMG azimuth (Zone 51=0.5°) and dip of drill holes at specified depths.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SG</td>
<td>1351</td>
<td>Density of sample from depth interval downhole (from and to) measured by water immersion method. Raw weight data included for samples (dry weight, wet weight, wet wrapped weight).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEOTECHNICAL</td>
<td>18073</td>
<td>Recovery and RQD values for specified down hole depth intervals and core size.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ma_intercepts</td>
<td>316</td>
<td>Mineralised intercepts table created by MA for the resource estimate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In addition to the drill hole database, assay data for trenches at Binebase were also supplied as an MS Excel file containing trench ID and X,Y,Z coordinates for the midpoints of 2 m composite trench samples and assay data for Au, Ag, As, Sb, Cu, Pb and Zn. Trench data was not used for estimation of this Mineral Resource Estimate but was utilised for validation purposes.

Trench midpoint coordinates were compared onscreen to topography. This comparison demonstrated that trench data is typically above the topography by about 1 to 6 m, but there were also examples where the trench data was below the topography. Trench data locations cannot be verified, unlike drill hole collars that were surveyed using differential global positioning system (“DGPS”) techniques. Another area of concern with using trench data for the estimation is that the samples will not be as representative of the underlying volumes as drill hole data. Drilling data was
sufficient to estimate all Binebase in the first pass. Trench data was utilised for validation of block model grades at surface and was found to be consistent.

The digital terrain model ("DTM") was supplied to MA by EAMC. This DTM is a modelled surface derived from elevation data collected by radar altimeter subtracted from an aircraft DGPS height. The DTM supplied to MA by EAMC needed to be corrected in the vicinity of drill hole collars. A vertical height difference of 1 to 3 m was commonly observed between the drill hole collars and the supplied DTM. MA used the surveyed drill hole collars as the exact RL, because the vertical accuracy of DGPS surveys was greater than that of the DTM. Drill hole collars were surveyed using base station adjusted DGPS. MA adjusted the DTM to snap to the drill hole collars and created a 20 m buffer around the collar to follow the gradients of the original topography dtm.

14.1.2 Geological and Mineralization Interpretation

The supplied information is sufficient to permit geological modeling for use in the estimation of mineral resources.

Gold mineralization at Binebase forms thin, roughly tabular oxide zones overlying more steeply dipping breccia-vein sulphide zones. The current area of interpreted oxide mineralization at Binebase is over an area of about 950 m east by 600 m north and is about 25 to 50 m thick (Figure 56 and Figure 57). Sulphide mineralization at Binebase appears to occur in steeply dipping breccia vein-like sulphide zones that may be interpreted as feeder veins to the overlying oxide mineralization. The contact between oxide and sulphide zones is quite irregular and generally deeper over interpreted sulphide veins.

Figure 56: Binebase plan showing mineralization outline.
Figure 57: Binebase mineralized domains on oblique section looking west.

Geological modelling by MA at Bawone indicates that mineralization occurs within near vertical tabular bodies (Figure 58 and Figure 59). Very little oxide material is present, likely due to the presence of the Pinterang Formation. Sulphide mineralization appears to be controlled by a lithological-structural contact zone between porphyritic andesite and andesite crystal tuff that strikes north to northwest. A sinistral northeast striking fault appears to offset mineralization through the middle. Defined mineralization is approximately 300 m along strike, 25-75 m wide and extends 200 m below surface.

Low and high grade mineralised domains were tagged in drill holes at Binebase and Bawone according to the criteria described in the section 14.1.3. Tagged intercepts were used to define boundaries of three dimensional wireframes created using Gemcom Surpac™ 6.4.1 software (“Surpac”). Low grade domain wireframes were modelled first and high grade domain wireframes were modelled second, to ensure that the former fully enclosed the latter. Drill hole spacing and orientations at Sangihe were amenable to wireframe generation by linking successive cross-section interpretations with 25 m oblique (330 to 315°) sections. Solids were created for low and high grade domains at Binebase and Bawone.
Figure 58: Bawone plan image showing transparent high grade (red), low grade (blue) domains and the approximate line of an offsetting fault.

Figure 59: Bawone oblique image looking north showing transparent high grade (red) and low grade (blue) domains.

Solids were extended laterally for approximately half the drill spacing, typically about 12.5 m, where mineralization was not closed off by drilling. The depth of extrapolation below drill holes was also typically about half the average drill spacing, about 12.5 m. Two sulphide zones at Binebase were
extended vertically 15 m below their respective lowest drill hole intercept points. Bawone mineralization was extended vertically 15 m below the lowest drill hole intercepts. Domain solids were used to constrain the block model during estimation. Drill holes intercepts were tagged for each domain corresponding to either low grade or high grade mineralization in each deposit as shown in Table 15.

In addition to low grade and high grade domains, mineralization was further subdivided into oxidised and sulphide. Surface dtsms were created for the contact between oxide and sulphide rock at Binebase and Bawone based on drill hole logging results contained in the oxidation table of the supplied database.

Table 15. Codes used for mineralised Domains

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Domain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Binebase</td>
<td>Low grade</td>
<td>BID1_LG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High grade</td>
<td>BID1_HG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bawone</td>
<td>Low grade</td>
<td>BOD2_LG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High grade</td>
<td>BOD2_HG</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

14.1.3 Statistical Analysis

Raw assay data was extracted from drill holes and analysed using Surpac’s statistics module. Univariate statistics were considered for gold, silver and sample length for drill samples (Table 16). Gold was considered the main economic element for investigation.

Table 16: Univariate statistics for raw drill hole samples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item/Element</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Min</th>
<th>Max</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std.Dev.</th>
<th>CoV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Au</td>
<td>12,483</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>56.50</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>2.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ag</td>
<td>9,008</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>1180.00</td>
<td>12.06</td>
<td>33.36</td>
<td>2.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>length</td>
<td>14,156</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>36.40</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The log probability plot of raw gold drill assay data showed a main inflection at 0.3 g/t Au, which was used as the basis for cut off for defining mineralization (Figure 60). Another inflexion occurred at about 1 g/t Au, which was considered the boundary between low grade and high grade mineralization.

Mineralization was tagged hole by hole with intercepts averaging 0.3-1.0 g/t Au denoted as low grade and intercepts more than 1 g/t Au flagged as high grade. Zones were allowed to include some internal dilution (samples less than 0.3 g/t Au), but only if the average grade of the zone was more than 0.3 g/t Au for low grade and more than 1 g/t Au for high grade. Continuity of the mineralization was checked by ensuring tagged holes were not isolated.
14.1.4 Compositing

Two metre down-hole composites were selected for statistical analysis and grade estimation of Au and Ag in the domains at Binebase and Bawone.

The objective of compositing data is to obtain an even representation of sample grades and to eliminate any bias due to sample length (Volume Variance). The dominant sample length at Sangihe is one metre. An important factor in compositing is the mining method, the critical feature is the perceived bench height, and in an open pit gold mine a 2.5 metre flitch height is common. To limit clustering of informing data in the z direction and after consideration of the flitch height and the raw sample length, a composite length of two metres was selected. The mean remains reasonably unaffected and the variance is marginally reduced with two metre composites. A two metre composite length also provided better sample support for the block size used.

Assay intercepts within each tagged zone were composited to one, two and three metre lengths using Surpac. Univariate statistics of different composited sample lengths were compared to decide the most suitable composite to use as informing samples for estimation. Although there is little difference in mean and variance of one versus two metre composites in drilling, two metre composites were chosen. Univariate statistics and histograms were generated from 2 m composite values for high grade and low grade domains (Table 17, Table 18 and Figure 61).
### Table 17: Univariate Statistics by domain for Gold (ppm)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Domain</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Min</th>
<th>Max</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Dev</th>
<th>CV</th>
<th>50%</th>
<th>90%</th>
<th>95%</th>
<th>97.50%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2186</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>56.5</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>1.72</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>2.12</td>
<td>2.85</td>
<td>4.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Domain BID1_HG</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>56.5</td>
<td>2.41</td>
<td>3.68</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>4.03</td>
<td>5.22</td>
<td>6.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Domain BID1_LG</td>
<td>1300</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>2.67</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>1.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Domain BOD2_HG</td>
<td>319</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>13.81</td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>3.70</td>
<td>5.38</td>
<td>9.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Domain BOD2_LG</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>1.32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 18: Univariate Statistics by domain for Silver (ppm)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Domain</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Min</th>
<th>Max</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Dev</th>
<th>CV</th>
<th>50%</th>
<th>90%</th>
<th>95%</th>
<th>97.50%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2186</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1145</td>
<td>18.34</td>
<td>42.83</td>
<td>2.34</td>
<td>7.09</td>
<td>41.00</td>
<td>72.00</td>
<td>108.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Domain BID1_HG</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1145.00</td>
<td>52.24</td>
<td>94.79</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>19.80</td>
<td>131.00</td>
<td>193.50</td>
<td>260.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Domain BID1_LG</td>
<td>1300</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>379.93</td>
<td>15.21</td>
<td>26.27</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>32.99</td>
<td>54.60</td>
<td>76.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Domain BOD2_HG</td>
<td>319</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>103.50</td>
<td>11.39</td>
<td>14.76</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>22.96</td>
<td>43.00</td>
<td>60.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Domain BOD2_LG</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>65.00</td>
<td>5.23</td>
<td>7.94</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>2.95</td>
<td>10.85</td>
<td>18.69</td>
<td>32.49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Log Histogram for Au uncap**

- Domain BID1_HG
- Domain BID1_LG
14.1.5 Grade Capping

Capping is the process of reducing the grade of outlier samples to a value that is representative of the surrounding grade distribution. Reducing the value of an outlier sample grade minimises the over-estimation of adjacent blocks in the vicinity of an outlier grade value. At no stage are sample grades removed from the database if grade capping is applied.

Two metre composite data for high grade and low grade domains in Binebase and Bawone were generated with assays for gold and silver which were input to MA’s top cut analysis spreadsheet. MA’s top cut spreadsheet automatically presents a range of possible values to cut the population with, and displays the effect of these top cuts on the mean, variance, loss of metal and Sichel’s mean. It also indicates an appropriate grade cut based on the Sichel mean, which assumes a generalised inverse Gaussian distribution (Sichel distribution). This information was used as a guide to the value selected by the geologist for the grade cap to be used for estimation. Grade cap details by domain are shown in Table 19 and Table 20.

Table 19: Grade Capping Statistics for Gold from 2 m composites

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Domain</th>
<th>No. Samples</th>
<th>Uncapped Mean Grade</th>
<th>Capped Mean Grade</th>
<th>Uncapped Coefficient of Variation</th>
<th>Capped Coefficient of Variation</th>
<th>Grade cap used Au g/t</th>
<th># Samples capped</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BID1_HG</td>
<td>277</td>
<td>2.26</td>
<td>2.22</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BID1_LG</td>
<td>1269</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOD2_HG</td>
<td>302</td>
<td>2.11</td>
<td>2.09</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOD2_LG</td>
<td>293</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>2141</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 20: Grade Capping Statistics for Silver from 2 m composites

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Domain</th>
<th>No. Samples</th>
<th>Uncapped Mean Grade</th>
<th>Capped Mean Grade</th>
<th>Uncapped Coefficient of Variation</th>
<th>Capped Coefficient of Variation</th>
<th>Grade cap used Ag g/t</th>
<th># Samples capped</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BID1_HG</td>
<td>277</td>
<td>49.30</td>
<td>46.27</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BID1_LG</td>
<td>1221</td>
<td>15.41</td>
<td>15.33</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOD2_HG</td>
<td>302</td>
<td>11.29</td>
<td>10.68</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOD2_LG</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>5.06</td>
<td>4.77</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>2064</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Capped gold data in all domains display good grade distribution and continuity for gold, with capping having the most effect on reducing coefficient of variation values of high grade domain data without lowering the means too much. Silver data has higher initial coefficient of variation, which is probably due to using gold grades to define domains. Gold and silver capped data is considered suitable to be used for estimation purposes.

14.1.6 Bulk Density

Detailed procedures for the measurement of density are given in Section 11.1.5.

385 density measurements from drilling since 2007 were used in this mineral resource estimate. No clear relationships between gold grade and density were seen in the data; densities were assigned according to the averages shown in Table 21. Assigned densities applied in this Mineral Resource Estimate are equal to the mean of the constrained sample population rounded to two decimal places.

Table 21: Density by Domains

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Domain</th>
<th>Weathering</th>
<th>No. Samples</th>
<th>Density (t/m³)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BID1_HG</td>
<td>Oxide</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>1.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sulphide</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BID1_LG</td>
<td>Oxide</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>1.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sulphide</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>1.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOD2_HG</td>
<td>Oxide</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>2.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sulphide</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>2.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOD2_LG</td>
<td>Oxide</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sulphide</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>2.48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

14.2 VARIOGRAPHY

The most important bivariate statistic used in geostatistics is the semi-variogram. The experimental semivariogram is estimated as half the average of squared differences between data separated exactly by a distance vector ‘h’. Semi-variogram models used in grade estimation should incorporate the main spatial characteristics of the underlying grade distribution at the scale at which mining is likely to occur.
Semivariogram analysis was undertaken for gold within each domain that contains sufficient data to allow a semivariogram to be generated. In this case, Binebase high grade and low grade domains contained enough samples for a valid semivariogram, so semivariogram parameters generated from this domain were used for all domains. Three dimensional (3-D) semi-variograms may be generated using two principal orthogonal directions; any apparent dip component would likely be secondary structures within the lode.

For each variable, the experimental variogram containing the clearest structure and greatest difference in range between each direction was selected for use in model fitting where possible. The semivariogram modelling process is described as follows:

- Experimental variograms with small lags orientated down hole to aid interpretation of nugget effect.
- Omni-directional variogram to determine optimal lag distance and range for directional component of semivariogram
- Select lowest variance direction on the variogram map, which computes 36 directions in the reference plane and normal to the reference plane. The lowest variance was parallel to the strike of the currently defined deposits.
- Modelled directional variograms with 2 directions in reference plane (along strike-down dip) oriented parallel to the average orientation of the wireframe models of each domain, plus variogram normal to the plane (across strike).

Semi-variograms were computed from two metre composite drill data from Binebase and Bawone domains for gold and silver. Directional variograms for gold and silver were able to be produced for all domains except for Binebase high and low grade silver. Omnidirectional variograms were used for Binebase silver estimates as directional variograms in these domains did not produce meaningful results. Downhole variograms did produce reliable estimates of nugget variance in each domain. Semi-variogram parameters produced from modelling are shown in Table 22 and Table 23.

```
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>BID1_HG</th>
<th>BID1_LG</th>
<th>BOD2_HG</th>
<th>BOD2_LG</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>axis1</td>
<td>220.0</td>
<td>289.6</td>
<td>140.0</td>
<td>95.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>axis2</td>
<td>-10.0</td>
<td>-7.6</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>75.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>axis3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-6.5</td>
<td>90.0</td>
<td>-44.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>majorsemi</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>majorminor</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nugget</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sill1</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>range1</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>95.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>50.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sill2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>range2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>140.0</td>
<td>90.0</td>
<td>90.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>numstructures</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>majorsemi2</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>majorminor2</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
```
14.3 BLOCK MODELLING

14.3.1 Grade Interpolation Method

Ordinary Kriging (“OK”) is a robust grade estimation technique and is the main algorithm used in estimation of the model grades. OK is a globally unbiased estimator which produces the least error variance for grade estimates.

OK uses grade continuity information from the semi-vario gram to estimate grades into blocks. It is also able to accommodate anisotropy within the data and is able to replicate this in the block estimates. Another important feature of kriging is that it automatically deals with clustering of data which is important in areas where the data density is not uniform.

Gold grade was interpolated into a constrained block model using OK estimation with parameters based on directional variography. All blocks within domain solids were filled using two estimation passes for each Domain.

14.3.2 Block Size and Extents

The block dimension is one of the major variables that affect grade estimation. Grade estimates are smoother and the error of estimation is larger for a smaller block size (Armstrong and Champigny, 1989). Generally an estimation block dimension equal to one half of the drill hole sample spacing is considered as industry standard. When the variogram range is greater than 1.5 times the sample spacing, block estimates (for block sizes at least half the sample spacing) are adequate to distinguish ore from waste (Armstrong and Champigny, 1989).

The Sangihe block model uses regular shaped blocks measuring 15 metres by 15 metres by 5 metres in height (Table 24).

### Table 23: Variogram Parameters for Silver by domain

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>BID1_HG</th>
<th>BID1_LG</th>
<th>BOD2_HG</th>
<th>BOD2_LG</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>axis1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>128.3</td>
<td>50.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>axis2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>49.0</td>
<td>80.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>axis3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-74.7</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>majorsemi</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>majorminor</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nugget</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sill1</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>range1</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>90.0</td>
<td>30.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sill2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>range2</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>35.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>75.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>numstructures</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>majorsemi2</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>majorminor2</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Block size selection was based on kriging neighbourhood analysis ("KNA"). This analysis is used to indicate the optimum number of samples and block sizes to use for the final block model. Conditional bias slope, kriging efficiency, slope of regression and number of informing samples outputs from test block model indicate that an estimation block size of 15 metres by 15 metres by 5 metres in height is optimal for the Sangihe Project. Sub blocks down to 1.875 m by 1.875 m by 0.625 m height were used to improve the resolution of the model at the edges of domains and to better represent narrow, high grade mineralization at depth.

Blocks above topography were tagged and excluded from the model estimation. Blocks were tagged with a weathering code to indicate if mineralization was oxide or sulphide.

### 14.3.3 Block Model Attributes

The block model stores variables as attributes which can be assigned, estimated or calculated from other variables. Sangihe block model attributes are shown in Table 25.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attribute Name</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Decimals</th>
<th>Background</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ag_ppm_ids</td>
<td>Real</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Ag ppm inverse distance squared</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ag_ppm_krig</td>
<td>Real</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Ag ppm ordinary krig</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ag_ppm_nn</td>
<td>Real</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Ag ppm nearest neighbour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>au_ppm_ids</td>
<td>Real</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Au ppm inverse distance squared</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>au_ppm_krig</td>
<td>Real</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Au ppm ordinary krig</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>au_ppm_nn</td>
<td>Real</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Au ppm nearest neighbour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>code_domain</td>
<td>Character</td>
<td></td>
<td>undefined</td>
<td>codes for domains within mineralization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>code_rock</td>
<td>Character</td>
<td></td>
<td>undefined</td>
<td>air, rock, ore (mineralization)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fill_pass</td>
<td>Integer</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>estimation iteration used to fill this block using Au kriging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>krg_au_block_var</td>
<td>Real</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>block variance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>krg_au_cond_bias_slope</td>
<td>Real</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>conditional bias slope</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>krg_au_dst_avg</td>
<td>Real</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>average distance to informing samples</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>krg_au_dst_near</td>
<td>Real</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>distance to nearest sample</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>krg_au_krig_effic</td>
<td>Real</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>kriging efficiency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>krg_au_lagrange_multi</td>
<td>Real</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>lagrange multiplier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>krg_au_neg_weights</td>
<td>Integer</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>number of negative weights</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>krg_au_numsamp</td>
<td>Integer</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>number of informing samples</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>krg_au_var</td>
<td>Real</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>kriging variance for Au</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nearest_hole</td>
<td>Character</td>
<td></td>
<td>undefined</td>
<td>name of nearest drill hole</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rescat</td>
<td>Character</td>
<td></td>
<td>Other</td>
<td>Resource category, can be Measured, Indicated, Inferred</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sg</td>
<td>Real</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>in-situ dry bulk density</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>weathering</td>
<td>Character</td>
<td></td>
<td>undefined</td>
<td>air, ox, fresh</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
14.3.4 Estimation Parameters

Estimation parameters used in OK are summarised in Table 22 and Table 23. Search ellipses were based on the semi-variogram parameters described in Section 14.2.

Numbers of informing samples were chosen based on KNA. Minimum and maximum samples of 12 and 22 respectively were applied to the first pass estimates. The minimum number of samples was reduced to 3 during the second pass to ensure all blocks were filled.

Maximum search radii were taken from variogram ranges for each domain for the first pass estimates. For the second pass estimates, all maximum ranges were set to 300 to ensure all blocks were filled.

Based on the block sizes and sample density, discretization points of 5(X) x 5(Y) x 2(Z) were selected.

14.3.5 Validation

The Sangihe block model was validated by visual and statistical comparison of drill hole and block grades. Block grades were visually checked in section view against drill assay data to ensure grades represented in the block model reflected the drill hole grades (Figure 62, Figure 63, Figure 64 and Figure 65). Colour scales for the images below are the same for the block model and drill hole composites.
Figure 64: Validation images at Bawone showing well constrained blocks with similar corresponding block colours to assayed grade.

Figure 65: Validation images at Bawone showing well constrained blocks with similar corresponding block colours to assayed grade.

Trend analysis compares average grade of blocks versus average grade of informing samples within section slices through the block model. Trend analyses were constructed for gold and silver in low grade and high grade domains in Binebase and Bawone by section Northing. Results are shown graphically in Figure 66 and Figure 67.

**Figure 66: Trend analysis for gold and silver in HG and LG Domains, Binebase**
Gold and silver generally show good correlation between estimated block grades and sample means for each section, with smoothing of grade expected from ordinary kriging. Generally, northerns where abundant informing samples are present show small differences between estimated block mean and sample mean, particularly at Binebase with high and low grade gold and silver domains due to clustering of drill data in both areas.

**14.4 RESOURCE CLASSIFICATION**

Resource classification for Sangihe is based upon the confidence in geological continuity, number of informing samples, kriging variance, average distance to informing samples, conditional bias slope, estimation pass and sample density.

Blocks within the defined wireframe domains are classified as indicated or inferred based on the guideline criteria shown in Table 26, Table 27, Table 28 and Table 29. The dominant difference between these tables is the range of the variogram. Although the minimum informing sample count for Inferred Resource category was 3, the vast majority of blocks were filled in the first pass which used a minimum of 12 samples. No blocks could be classified as measured because of insufficient sampling density and weak confidence in geological continuity at a local scale.

Figure 68 and Figure 69 illustrate resource category guidelines for domains at each deposit.
**Table 26: Resource Classification Criteria for Binebase LG (BID1_HG)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Inferred</th>
<th>Indicated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of informing samples</td>
<td>Blocks informed by at least minimum informing samples (3)</td>
<td>50% of the Maximum allotted (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance to nearest sample</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1/3 the defined variogram range (15m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Distance to samples</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Less than the variogram range (50m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conditional bias slope</td>
<td>&gt;0.2</td>
<td>Majority greater than 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimation Pass</td>
<td>1 or 2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample Density</td>
<td></td>
<td>Within 50m of surface, based on the effective drill depth density</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 27: Resource Classification Criteria for Binebase LG (BID1_LG)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Inferred</th>
<th>Indicated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of informing samples</td>
<td>Blocks informed by at least minimum informing samples (3)</td>
<td>50% of the Maximum allotted (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance to nearest sample</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1/3 the defined variogram range (40m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Distance to samples</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Less than the variogram range (100m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conditional bias slope</td>
<td>&gt;0.2</td>
<td>Majority greater than 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimation Pass</td>
<td>1 or 2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample Density</td>
<td></td>
<td>Within 50m of surface, based on the effective drill depth density</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 28: Resource Classification Criteria for Binebase LG (BOD2_HG)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Inferred</th>
<th>Indicated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of informing samples</td>
<td>Blocks informed by at least minimum informing samples (3)</td>
<td>50% of the Maximum allotted (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance to nearest sample</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1/3 the defined variogram range (30m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Distance to samples</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Less than the variogram range (90m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conditional bias slope</td>
<td>&gt;0.2</td>
<td>Majority greater than 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimation Pass</td>
<td>1 or 2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 29: Resource Classification Criteria for Binebase LG (BOD2_LG)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Inferred</th>
<th>Indicated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of informing samples</td>
<td>Blocks informed by at least minimum informing samples (3)</td>
<td>50% of the Maximum allotted (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance to nearest sample</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1/3 the defined variogram range (30m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Distance to samples</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Less than the variogram range (90m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conditional bias slope</td>
<td>&gt;0.2</td>
<td>Majority greater than 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimation Pass</td>
<td>1 or 2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
14.5 MINERAL RESOURCE SUMMARY

14.5.1 NI 43-101 Mineral Resource Statement

Mineral Resource estimates for the Sangihe Project were prepared in compliance with CIM guidelines and under the guidance of NI 43-101 disclosure standards for reporting Mineral Projects. Figures in the tables presented below should to be read in conjunction with the notes following.

Mineral Resources for the Sangihe Project as at 11 July 2013 and estimated using a cut-off grade of 0.25 g/t Au for oxide material and 1.00 g/t Au for sulphide material are:
INDICATED

3.16 Mt at an average grade of 1.13 g/t gold and 19.4 g/t silver containing an estimated 114,700 oz of gold and 1,972,400 oz of silver.

INFERRED

2.54 Mt at an average grade of 1.29 g/t gold and 13.0 g/t silver containing an estimated 105,000 oz of gold and 1,055,600 oz of silver.

Oxide and sulphide resources from Binebase and Bawone are shown in Table 30.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Tonnes (t)</th>
<th>Au (g/t)</th>
<th>Ag (g/t)</th>
<th>Au (oz)</th>
<th>Ag (oz)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Binebase Oxide at 0.25 g/t Au cut-off</td>
<td>Indicated</td>
<td>Oxide</td>
<td>2,286,100</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>56,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Binebase Oxide at 0.25 g/t Au cut-off</td>
<td>Inferred</td>
<td>Oxide</td>
<td>893,100</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>18,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Binebase Sulphide at 1.00 g/t Au cut-off</td>
<td>Indicated</td>
<td>Sulphide</td>
<td>204,800</td>
<td>2.12</td>
<td>32.8</td>
<td>14,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Binebase Sulphide at 1.00 g/t Au cut-off</td>
<td>Inferred</td>
<td>Sulphide</td>
<td>81,100</td>
<td>2.09</td>
<td>33.6</td>
<td>5,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bawone Oxide at 0.25 g/t Au cut-off oxide</td>
<td>Indicated</td>
<td>Oxide</td>
<td>21,700</td>
<td>3.12</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>2,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bawone Oxide at 0.25 g/t Au cut-off oxide</td>
<td>Inferred</td>
<td>Oxide</td>
<td>335,800</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>14,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bawone Sulphide at 1.00 g/t Au cut-off</td>
<td>Indicated</td>
<td>Sulphide</td>
<td>644,800</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>41,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bawone Sulphide at 1.00 g/t Au cut-off</td>
<td>Inferred</td>
<td>Sulphide</td>
<td>1,226,300</td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>66,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Indicated</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3,157,400</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>19.4</td>
<td>114,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Inferred</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2,536,300</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>105,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note - Reported tonnage and grade figures have been rounded off from raw estimates to the appropriate number of significant figures to reflect the order of accuracy of the estimate. Minor variations may occur during the addition of rounded numbers.

Notes to accompany the Mineral Resource Estimate:

- The Sangihe Project is 70 % owned by East Asia Minerals Corporation (“EAMC”).
- EAMC drill core was available for inspection on site.
- MA has reviewed the company procedures and protocols for EAMC drilling and has visited site on one occasion.
- MA conducted a review of the drill hole data.
- Diamond core of PQ, HQ and NQ diameters with standard and triple tube core recovery systems were used by EAMC.
- Binebase mean core recovery for all drilling was 93.19 % from 12,685 measurements. Bawone mean core recovery was 94.23 % from 3,157 measurements.
QA/QC program implemented by EAMC is considered acceptable for a mineral resource definition stage. It is MA’s opinion that the sample preparation, security and analytical procedures are sufficiently adequate for the purposes of the current mineral resource estimation.

Drill hole data was plotted in UTM Zone 51, WGS84 datum.

Mineralization interpretation used in this Mineral Resource Estimate is based entirely on ½ diamond drill core samples submitted for geochemical analysis.

The Mineral Resource Estimate at Binebase is based on 110 drill holes out of a total of 126 drill holes, from which 2,966 informing samples totalling 3,079.25 m were selected. The Mineral Resource Estimate at Bawone is based on 17 drill holes out of a total of 27 drill holes, from which 1,119 informing samples totalling 1,136.40 m were selected.

The geological resource is constrained by domains consisting of 3D wireframes/solids. Drill hole data was displayed in section and elevation slices showing assays and geology. Intercepts were selected and coded for each domain based primarily on a grade greater than 0.3 g/t Au and less than 1 g/t Au for low grade, and more than 1 g/t Au for high grade.

Solids were extended laterally for approximately half the drill spacing, typically about 12.5 m, where mineralization was not closed off by drilling. The depth of extrapolation below drill holes was also typically about half the average drill spacing, about 12.5 m. Two sulphide breccia veins at Binebase were extended vertically 15 m below their respective lowest drill hole intercept points. Bawone mineralization was extended vertically 15 m below the lowest drill hole intercepts.

Domains are based on at least 2 drill hole intercepts.

Drill intercepts within each lode are flagged in a database table and composited to give informing sample downhole composites.

Informing samples were composited to 2 m downhole lengths.

Grade caps were applied to gold and silver informing composite values to remove outliers. Grade cap values for Binebase range from 2.6 g/t to 10.5 g/t for gold and 300 g/t for silver. Grade cap values for Bawone range from 1.4 g/t to 9.2 g/t for gold and 30 g/t to 60 g/t for silver.

Density measurements on drill core samples taken by EAMC use the water immersion method. 385 density measurements were used in this mineral resource estimate. Densities used in this mineral resource estimate were equal to the mean of the Domained sample population rounded to two decimal places. Density values for Binebase range from 1.83 t/m$^3$ to 2.28 t/m$^3$. Density values for Bawone range from 2.11 t/m$^3$ to 2.63 t/m$^3$.

Estimation parameters were based on directional variograms for gold and silver for each domain except for Binebase high and low grade silver. Omnidirectional variograms were used for Binebase silver estimates. Downhole variograms did produce reliable estimates of nugget variance in each domain.

Grade was interpolated by domain using Ordinary Kriging.

All blocks within domain solids were filled using two passes. Maximum search radii were taken from variogram ranges for each domain for the first pass estimates. For the second pass estimates, all maximum ranges were set to 300 to ensure all blocks were filled.

The estimation block size was 15 m (x) by 15 m (y) by 5 m (z). A sub-block size of 1.875 m (x), 1.875 m (y) and 0.625 m (z) was used to increase the resolution of the model at the edges of domains and to better represent narrow, high grade mineralization. Block size selection was based on kriging neighbourhood analysis “KNA”.

Volume of each domain was defined by wireframes in 3D space that were used to flag resource blocks.

Results are stored in a block model that was screened for topography by block.

Numbers of informing samples were chosen based on KNA. Minimum and maximum samples of 12 and 22 respectively were applied to the first pass estimates. The minimum number of samples was reduced to 3 during the second pass to ensure all blocks were filled.

Based on the block sizes and sample density, discretization points of 5(X) x 5(Y) x 2(Z) were selected.
- Resources have been classified as Indicated or Inferred based upon the confidence in geological continuity, number of informing samples, kriging variance, average distance to informing samples, conditional bias slope, estimation pass, and sample density.

- The Sangihe block model was validated by visual and statistical comparison of drill hole and block grades.

- Cut-off grade of 0.25 g/t Au for oxide resources assumes processing by heap leaching. Cut-off grade of 1 g/t Au for sulphide mineralization is based on available evidence that the material is refractory in nature and therefore MA has selected a conservative figure to meet the requirements of NI 43-101 for “reasonable prospects for economic extraction”. Further metallurgical testwork on sulphide mineralization is required to more accurately define sulphide cut-off grade.

- Reported tonnage and grade figures have been rounded off to the appropriate number of significant figures to reflect the order of accuracy of the estimate. Minor variations may occur during the addition of rounded numbers.

Grade-tonnage charts for oxide and sulphide resources in indicated and inferred categories at Binebase and Bawone are shown in Figure 70, Figure 71, Figure 72 and Figure 73. Charts show the sensitivity of resources to selection of cut-off grade. Except for the Binebase Indicated charts, there is no material below 0.25 g/t Au because mineralization wireframes were defined using a geological cut-off of 0.3 g/t Au. The small amount of material below 0.25 g/t Au shown on the Binebase Indicated charts perhaps reflects the more gradational mineralization boundary at this deposit.
Figure 71. Grade-tonnage charts, Inferred Category for Binebase.

Figure 72. Grade-tonnage charts, Indicated Category for Bawone.

Figure 73. Grade-tonnage charts, Inferred Category for Bawone.
14.6 COMPARISON TO PREVIOUS MINERAL RESOURCE ESTIMATE

The Mineral Resource Estimate differs considerably from that reported by Stone (2010) (Table 31). MA has been able to view the wireframes for Bawone only and estimation parameters from the Stone (2010) estimate. The wireframes for Binebase were not supplied as part of the data package from EAMC to MA. The main differences between the Bawone estimations are due to the geological and mineralization interpretation, cut off values and density measurements.


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Inferred resources at Binebase at 0.25 g/t Au cut-off</th>
<th>Inferred resources at Bawone at 0.25 g/t Au cut-off</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Au Range (g/t)</td>
<td>Tonnes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxide 0.25 -&gt; 9999</td>
<td>7,851,000</td>
<td>1.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulphide 0.25 -&gt; 9999</td>
<td>10,002,000</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxide 0.25 -&gt; 9999</td>
<td>3,475,000</td>
<td>1.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulphide 0.25 -&gt; 9999</td>
<td>5,999,000</td>
<td>1.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Stone, 2010

14.6.1 Geological and Mineralization Interpretation

MA notes that the much larger drill hole and assay data used in this Mineral Resource Estimate has allowed a more constrained interpretation of mineralization boundaries. Many examples of recent drilling intervals with gold grades below cut off values were seen within the Stone (2010) mineralised domain boundaries at Bawone (Figure 74). Stone (2010) also appears to include large areas between the small veins of Bonzos and Brown Sugar in their resource estimation (Figure 75). There is about 200 m of extrapolated mineralization in between the Bawone main zone and Bonzos that is not supported by drilling data.

A comparison between interpretations at Binebase is not possible because no domain wireframes were supplied to MA. However, a brief visual comparison from the block model images in Stone (2010) show that their sulphide domain appears to be a vertical extrapolation of their oxide domain (Figure 76). MA notes that the more constrained model used in this Mineral Resource Estimate is lower in volume than the model used by Stone (2010).
Figure 74: Cross section comparison between the Bawone block model extents by Stone (2010) (brown) and MA (blue=low grade, red=high grade).

Figure 75: Plan view comparison between the Bawone block model extents by Stone (2010) (brown) and MA (blue=low grade, red=high grade).
14.6.2 Cut-Off Values

MA notes that cut off values differ between the two estimates. MA uses cut off values of 0.25 g/t Au for oxide material and 1.00 g/t Au for sulphide material. In contrast, Stone (2010) used a cut off for oxide and sulphide material of 0.25 g/t Au.

Cut-off grade of 0.25 g/t Au for oxide resources assumes processing by heap leaching. Cut-off grade of 1 g/t Au for sulphide mineralization is based on available evidence that the material is refractory in nature and therefore MA has selected a conservative figure to meet the requirements of NI 43-101 for “reasonable prospects for economic extraction”. Further metallurgical test work on sulphide mineralization is required to more accurately define sulphide cut-off grade. The cut off selection criteria used by Stone (2010) was not available.

14.6.3 Density

MA notes that density values differ between the Stone (2010) and MA Mineral Estimates (Table 32). MA applied density values equal to the mean of high and low grade, oxide and sulphide constrained density measurement populations at both Binebase and Bawone.

At Binebase, density samples for oxide and sulphide were scatter plotted against their respective Au grade by Stone (2010). A line of best fit was calculated between grade ranges that “appear to cluster together”. The equation of the line was then used to estimate densities within the modeled blocks. At Bawone, the same method as described for Binebase was applied. However no clear relationship could be discerned so an average density of 2.53 was applied to all blocks.

MA notes that the Stone (2010) model does not differentiate between high and low grade and oxide and sulphide material at Bawone. Density measurements from drilling after the Stone (2010) Mineral Resource Estimate show density varies considerably across these domains and applying a blanket density is not appropriate. As well, Stone (2010) use a line of best fit equation applied to all Binebase density values. This interpretation assumed a linear relationship between gold and density, which recent drilling confirms is not the case.
Table 32: Comparison of average density by domains between MA and Stone (2010)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Domain</th>
<th>Weathering</th>
<th>No. Samples</th>
<th>Density (t/m³)</th>
<th>No. Samples</th>
<th>Density (t/m³)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MA</td>
<td>Stone (2010)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Oxide</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>1.99</td>
<td>Au (g/t)*0.065 + 2.0448 for Au ≥1 g/t</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Binebase</td>
<td>Sulphide</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2.28</td>
<td>2.09 (average 2 samples) for Au ≥ 0.55 g/t</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Oxide</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>Au (g/t)*0.1337 + 1.961 for Au ≤1 g/t</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sulphide</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>Au (g/t) * 0.2113 + 2.2907 for Au ≥ 0.3 (g/t) and ≤ 0.55 (g/t) and Au (g/t) * 0.4997 + 2.065 for Au ≤0.3 g/t</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bawone</td>
<td>Oxide</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>2.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sulphide</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>2.63</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Oxide</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sulphide</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>2.48</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

14.7 FACTORS POTENTIALLY AFFECTING MATERIALITY OF RESOURCES

Mineral resources which are not mineral reserves do not have demonstrated economic viability. The following factors could potentially impact on the materiality of the mineral resource estimate:

- The estimate of mineral resources may be materially affected by environmental, permitting, legal, title, taxation, socio-political, marketing, or other relevant issues.
- It cannot be assumed that all or any part of the Indicated or Inferred mineral resources of the Sangihe Project will be upgraded to Indicated or Measured category as a result of continued exploration.
- Mineralized samples were also analysed for other elements (Table 33). The extent of impact these elements may or may not have on the materiality of the mineral resource estimate is not commented on in this report.
Table 33: Element statistics for assays within mineralised Domains.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Min</th>
<th>Max</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std Dev.</th>
<th>CoV</th>
<th>50%ile</th>
<th>90%ile</th>
<th>95%ile</th>
<th>97.5%ile</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Au</td>
<td>2127</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>As</td>
<td>2118</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>14963.2</td>
<td>317.9</td>
<td>596.0</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>149.6</td>
<td>735.6</td>
<td>1193.6</td>
<td>1774.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ba</td>
<td>1201</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>16350.0</td>
<td>2089.6</td>
<td>2468.4</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1160.0</td>
<td>5407.5</td>
<td>7355.0</td>
<td>9057.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>55.0</td>
<td>78.0</td>
<td>65.4</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>64.3</td>
<td>78.0</td>
<td>78.0</td>
<td>78.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>2127</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>10001.0</td>
<td>1357.2</td>
<td>1990.7</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>488.0</td>
<td>4122.2</td>
<td>6099.6</td>
<td>7670.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>9.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo</td>
<td>334</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>355.5</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>25.7</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>38.0</td>
<td>50.2</td>
<td>63.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb</td>
<td>2103</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>6322.5</td>
<td>330.9</td>
<td>542.2</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>141.0</td>
<td>776.9</td>
<td>1260.5</td>
<td>1782.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>373</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>44.3</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>29.8</td>
<td>35.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sb</td>
<td>2093</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>486.5</td>
<td>24.4</td>
<td>39.7</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>58.8</td>
<td>91.8</td>
<td>138.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>2124</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>10001.0</td>
<td>464.6</td>
<td>1169.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>69.0</td>
<td>1390.4</td>
<td>2889.8</td>
<td>4199.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
15 MINERAL RESERVE ESTIMATES

This section of not applicable for this NI 43-101 Report as there is yet no scoping study or preliminary economic assessment which would allow mineral resource conversion to reserves.

16 MINING METHODS

This section is not applicable for this NI 43-101 Report.

17 RECOVERY METHODS

This section is not applicable for this NI 43-101 Report.

18 PROJECT INFRASTRUCTURE

This section is not applicable for this NI 43-101 Report.

19 MARKET STUDIES AND CONTRACTS

This section is not applicable for this NI 43-101 Report.

20 ENVIRONMENTAL STUDIES, PERMITTING AND SOCIAL OR COMMUNITY IMPACT

This section is not applicable for this NI 43-101 Report.

21 CAPITAL AND OPERATING COSTS

This section is not applicable for this NI 43-101 Report.

22 ECONOMIC ANALYSIS

This section is not applicable for this NI 43-101 Report.

23 ADJACENT PROPERTIES

There are no adjacent properties in the area of the Sangihe CoW which MA could identify during this review.

24 OTHER RELEVANT DATA AND INFORMATION

There is no additional information considered to be relevant to the Project.
25 INTERPRETATION AND CONCLUSIONS

MA has completed a Mineral Resource Estimate for the Sangihe Project dated July 2013 using a cut-off grade of 0.25 g/t Au for oxide material and 1.00 g/t Au for sulphide material.

**INDICATED**

3.16 Mt at an average grade of 1.13 g/t gold and 19.4 g/t silver containing an estimated 114,700 oz of gold and 1,972,400 oz of silver in the Indicated category;

**INFERRED**

2.54 Mt at an average grade of 1.29 g/t gold and 13.0 g/t silver containing an estimated 105,000 oz of gold and 1,055,600 oz of silver in the Inferred category.

This is the second resource estimate reported in compliance with NI43-101 produced for this deposit.

Risk issues are related to the mineability in or close to areas of Protected Forest, the impact of new MEMR regulations on the export of unprocessed ore, and the future renegotiations with the Indonesian Government on the CoW.

Technical risk exists associated with regional seismicity with an intermediate level hazard risk of earthquakes and a high level risk of tsunami. Although the mineralised project areas are at elevated locations, other infrastructure facilities could be affected and appropriate building codes and precautions would be necessary for any future development.

25.1 FORESTRY ISSUES

As noted in Section 4, a very small part of the Binebase deposit within an area of HL or Protected Forest where open pit or surface mining is not allowed. EAMC have advised that the HL at Binebase does not cover the Resource but a mangrove area within an adjacent lagoon.

25.2 RAW MATERIAL EXPORT BAN AND EXPORT DUTY

According to a new regulation, Minister of Energy and Mineral Resources Regulation 7 of 2012 ("MEMR7"), the export of unprocessed ore and minerals has been banned. The new regulation also obliges IUP holders to process and refine ore and minerals in Indonesia. According to the Mitrais Mining Newsletter, mining companies which were given licenses after 2009 are to be banned from export of unprocessed metals (14 minerals including unrefined or unprocessed nickel) with effect from 6th May 2012. If however the producer determines that it is uneconomic to process and refine ore themselves, producers may, with the approval of the Minister, co-operate with other producers or the holders of a special processing permit. The regulation stipulates that mineral exporters should first obtain certification of Listed Mining Product Exporter (ET Produk Pertambangan) from the Foreign Trade Directorate General on behalf of the Trade Ministry. The certification is given to exporters with Operating Production IUPs, Special Operating Production IUPs, Traditional Mining Permit (IPR), Contract of Work (CoW), Operating Production IUPs for processing and smelting and Operating Production IUPs for transportation and trading.
The Indonesian government has also indicated that it would impose a 20 percent export duty on all metal ores. The Indonesian government has said its export taxes are designed to push miners to add value to the mining industry by developing refining capabilities ahead of a total ban on ore exports in 2014. A Trade Ministry decree announced 10 May 2012 listed the export tax codes for 65 minerals in varying concentrates and outlined procedures for their export. The decree would introduce a 20% tax on the export of 65 commodities, including 34 minerals, 10 non-metal ores, and 21 metal ores. The decree came in conjunction with an Energy Ministry ban on the export of 14 metal ores which is applicable to only some miners, i.e. only for miners that were issued mining licences (IUP) after the 2009 Mining Law took effect.

EAMC have advised MA that any dore or concentrate produced at the project will need to be refined in Indonesia at the Logam Mulia refinery in Jakarta.

**25.3  FUTURE CONVERSION OF CONTRACT OF WORK**

As noted in Section 4, the new Mining Law of January 12th 2009 terminated the CoW system; with the exception of CoW agreements signed prior to the enforcement of the implementation regulations. The existing Sangihe CoW can be extended to become an IUP without tender under this law. However in 2012 several new government decrees were released which may impact on the future status of CoWs, i.e. the establishment of a government team for the re-negotiation of CoW terms. The possible impact of this re-negotiation is unknown.

**25.4  SEISMIC RISK**

The Sangihe Island region is seismically active due to the tectonic setting (Figure 77). The Philippine Sea plate moves west-northwest with respect to the Sunda plate at about 62 mm/yr. The Sangihe and Halmahera micro plates collide, and wedged between them is the Molucca Sea micro plate, which subducts beneath both (USGS, 2009).
Sangihe Island is characterised as intermediate level hazard according to the seismic hazard study issued by the US Geological Survey (Figure 78). The exact timing or magnitude of future earthquakes cannot be predicted.
There have been 21 earthquakes recorded within a 25 km radius of Sangihe Island since 1973, 100 within a 50 km radius, and 700 within a 100 km radius according to the USGS earthquake database (USGS 2012). Figure 79 illustrates the number and depths of seismic events since 1973 in the Sangihe Island to Talaud Island area.
Additionally there is the related risk of tsunami which is characterised as high level according to the Tsunami Risk Map issued by the Badan Nasional Penanggulangan Bencana (National Disaster Management Agency) (Figure 80). The exact timing or magnitude of future tsunami cannot be predicted.
MA notes that the mineralised project areas are at elevated locations however other infrastructure facilities could be affected by tsunami. Any future development must take into consideration the inherent seismic-related risks of the region and appropriate building codes and precautions would be necessary.

Figure 80: Tsunami Risk Map.  
(Source: BNPB, 2010)
26 RECOMMENDATIONS

There is exploration potential to the south and south-east of Binebase to expand the known zone of mineralization. Sulphide resources could be increased by extending modelled breccia veins along strike and at depth. Drill testing is required to support the modelled sulphide veins and to potentially locate more veins. Sulphide mineralization at Bawone is similarly open at depth and not fully closed off along strike. Although infill drilling would increase the confidence level of the resource categories, extension drilling is recommended over infill drilling in order to increase the resource base of the project. Drill sections should be spaced 50 m along strike for reasonable definition of tonnes and grade.

Synthesis of previous exploration information has defined five prospective target areas (Binebase-Salurang Corridor, Upper Taware Valley/Kelapa/Kupa, Taware Ridge and Mou-Ninja, Hadakel Kecil, Sawang Kecil) recommended for a range of mapping, sampling and ground geophysical surveys (magnetics and IP) that have not been subject to significant drill testing. There may also be opportunities from reprocessing of old geophysical data. Additionally the primary source of mineralization for the current artisanal alluvial gold mining in the Taware region has not been identified.

Structural mapping is recommended to gain a better understanding of the controls of the fault systems hosting mineralization. Metallurgical test work at Binebase and Bawone is also considered necessary.

26.1 WORK PROGRAM AND BUDGET

Details for an estimated budget for a twelve month exploration program are presented in Table 34. This budget includes provision for the drill programs discussed above, logistical support for the programs, consumables, tenement maintenance, the compilation and interpretation of data and the expansion of the camp facilities and the number of personnel. The budget does not include any overhead costs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sangihe Budget Items</th>
<th>USD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Geophysics Re-processing</td>
<td>25,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geophysical Surveys</td>
<td>200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diamond Drilling</td>
<td>2,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drill Crew Wages</td>
<td>100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drilling Consumables</td>
<td>75,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface Sampling Assays</td>
<td>50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drill Core Assaying</td>
<td>200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metallurgical Test work</td>
<td>100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultants – Resource Update, Structural Mapping</td>
<td>60,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support, camp costs, supplies, consumables</td>
<td>240,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geological Staff + data base management</td>
<td>300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional Office + Admin + IT support</td>
<td>100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>3,950,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MA considers the budget reasonable for the work planned and sufficient to achieve the planned objectives.
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### GLOSSARY OF TECHNICAL TERMS

This glossary comprises a general list of common technical terms that are typically used by geologists. The list has been edited to conform in general to actual usage in the body of this report. However, the inclusion of a technical term in this glossary does not necessarily mean that it appears in the body of this report, and no imputation should be drawn. Investors should refer to more comprehensive dictionaries of geology in printed form or available in the internet for a complete glossary.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Au&quot;</td>
<td>Chemical symbol for gold</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;block model&quot;</td>
<td>A block model is a computer based representation of a deposit in which geological zones are defined and filled with blocks which are assigned estimated values of grade and other attributes. The purpose of the block model (BM) is to associate grades with the volume model. The blocks in the BM are basically cubes with the size defined according to certain parameters.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;bulk density&quot;</td>
<td>The dry in-situ tonnage factor used to convert volumes to tonnage. Bulk density testwork is carried out on site and is relatively comprehensive, although samples of the more friable and broken portions of the mineralised zones are often unable to be measured with any degree of confidence, therefore caution is used when using the data. Bulk density measurements are carried out on selected representative samples of whole drill core wherever possible. The samples are dried and bulk density measured using the classical wax-coating and water immersion method.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;cut off grade&quot;</td>
<td>The lowest grade value that is included in a resource statement. Must comply with JORC requirement 19 “reasonable prospects for eventual economic extraction” the lowest grade, or quality, of mineralised material that qualifies as economically mineable and available in a given deposit. May be defined on the basis of economic evaluation, or on physical or chemical attributes that define an acceptable product specification.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;diamond drilling, diamond core&quot;</td>
<td>Rotary drilling technique using diamond set or impregnated bits, to cut a solid, continuous core sample of the rock. The core sample is retrieved to the surface, in a core barrel, by a wireline.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;drill-hole database&quot;</td>
<td>The drilling, surveying, geological and analyses database is produced by qualified personnel and is compiled, validated and maintained in digital and hardcopy formats.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Domain&quot;</td>
<td>A domain is a three-dimensional volume that delineates the spatial limits of a single grade population, has a single orientation of grade continuity, and is geological homogeneous and has statistical and geostatistical parameters that are applicable throughout the volume.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;down-hole survey&quot;</td>
<td>Drill hole deviation as surveyed down-hole by using a conventional single-shot camera and readings taken at regular depth intervals, usually every 50 metres.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;g/t&quot;</td>
<td>Grams per tonne, equivalent to parts per million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;g/t Au&quot;</td>
<td>Grams of gold per tonne</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;gold assay&quot;</td>
<td>Gold analysis is usually carried out by an independent ISO17025 accredited laboratory by classical ‘Screen Fire Assay’ technique that involves sieving a 900-1,000 gram sample to 200 mesh (~75microns). The entire oversize and duplicate undersize fractions are fire assayed and the weighted average gold grade calculated. This is one of the most appropriate methods for determining gold content if there is a ‘coarse gold’ component to the mineralization.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;grade cap, also called top cut&quot;</td>
<td>The maximum value assigned to individual informing sample composites to reduce bias in the resource estimate. They are capped to prevent over estimation of the total resource as they exert an undue statistical weight. Capped samples may represent “outliers” or a small high-grade portion that is volumetrically too small to be separately domainated.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;inverse distance estimation&quot;</td>
<td>It asserts that samples closer to the point of estimation are more likely to be similar to the sample at the estimation point than samples further away. Samples closer to the point of estimation are collected and weighted according to the inverse of their separation from the point of estimation, so samples closer to the point of estimation receive a higher weight than samples further away. The inverse distance weights can also be raised to a power, generally 2 (also called inverse distance squared). The higher the power, the more weight is assigned to the closer value. A power of 2 was used in the estimate used for comparison with the OK estimates.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;JORC&quot;</td>
<td>The Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves, 2004 (the “JORC Code” or “the Code”).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>“Inferred Resource”</strong></td>
<td>That part of a Mineral Resource for which tonnage, grade and mineral content can be estimated with a low level of confidence. It is inferred from geological evidence and assumed but not verified geological and/or grade continuity. It is based on information gathered through appropriate techniques from locations such as outcrops, trenches, pits, workings and drillholes which may be limited or of uncertain quality and reliability.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>“Indicated Resource”</strong></td>
<td>That part of a Mineral Resource for which tonnage, densities, shape, physical characteristics, grade and mineral content can be estimated with a reasonable level of confidence. It is based on exploration, sampling and testing information gathered through appropriate techniques from locations such as outcrops, trenches, pits, workings and drill holes. The locations are too widely or inappropriately spaced to confirm geological and/or grade continuity but are spaced closely enough for continuity to be assumed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>“Measured Resource”</strong></td>
<td>That part of a Mineral Resource for which tonnage, densities, shape, physical characteristics, grade and mineral content can be estimated with a high level of confidence. It is based on detailed and reliable exploration, sampling and testing information gathered through appropriate techniques from locations such as outcrops, trenches, pits, workings and drill holes. The locations are spaced closely enough to confirm geological and grade continuity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>“kriging neighbourhood analysis, or KNA”</strong></td>
<td>The methodology for quantitatively assessing the suitability of a kriging neighbourhood involves some simple tests. It has been argued that KNA is a mandatory step in setting up any kriging estimate. Kriging is commonly described as a “minimum variance estimator” but this is only true when the block size and neighbourhood are properly defined. The objective of KNA is to determine the combination of search neighbourhood and block size that will result in conditional unbiasedness.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>“lb”</strong></td>
<td>Avoirdupois pound (= 453.59237 grams). Mlb = million avoirdupois pounds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>“Ma”</strong></td>
<td>Million years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>“micron (µ)”</strong></td>
<td>Unit of length (= one thousandth of a millimetre or one millionth of a metre).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>“Mineral Resource”</strong></td>
<td>A concentration or occurrence of material of intrinsic economic interest in or on the Earth’s crust in such form, quality and quantity that there are reasonable prospects for eventual economic extraction. The location, quantity, grade, geological characteristics and continuity of a Mineral Resource are known, estimated or interpreted from specific geological evidence and knowledge. Mineral Resources are sub-divided, in order of increasing geological confidence, into Inferred, Indicated and Measured categories when reporting under JORC.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>“Mo”</strong></td>
<td>Chemical symbol for molybdenum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>“nearest neighbour estimation” “Inferred”</strong></td>
<td>Nearest Neighbour assigns values to blocks in the model by assigning the values from the nearest sample point to the block attribute of interest. That part of a Mineral Resource for which tonnage, grade and mineral content can be estimated with a low level of confidence. It is inferred from geological evidence and assumed but not verified geological and/or grade continuity. It is based on information gathered through appropriate techniques from locations such as outcrops, trenches, pits, workings and drillholes which may be limited or of uncertain quality and reliability.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>“Ordinary Kriging” “OK”</strong></td>
<td>Kriging is a distance weighting technique where weights are selected via the variogram according to the samples distance and direction from the point of estimation. The weights are not only derived from the distance between samples and the block to be estimated, but also the distance between the samples themselves. This tends to give much lower weights to individual samples in an area where the samples are clustered. OK is known as the “best linear unbiased estimator. The kriging estimates are controlled by the variogram parameters. The variogram model parameters are interpreted from the data while the search parameters are optimised during kriging neighbourhood analysis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>“oz”</strong></td>
<td>Troy ounce (= 31.103477 grams). Moz = million troy ounces</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>“QA/QC”</strong></td>
<td>Quality Assurance/Quality Control. The procedures for sample collection, analysis and storage. Drill samples are despatched to ‘certified’ independent analytical laboratories for analyses. Blanks, Duplicates and Certified Reference Material samples are included with each batch of drill samples as part of the Company’s QA/QC programme. Mining Associates, as part of database management, monitors the results on a batch-by-batch basis.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **“RC drilling”** | Reverse Circulation drilling. A method of rotary drilling in which the sample is returned to the surface, using compressed air, inside the inner-tube of the drill-rod. A face-sampling hammer is used to penetrate the rock and provide crushed and pulverised sample to the surface without contamination. 1 metre samples are collected in a plastic bag from the bottom discharge chute of a cyclone. Sub-
Sample splits are collected in calico bags using a ‘Jones-type’ riffle splitter to obtain a 3-4kg subsample for submission to the laboratories for analyses. RC is carried out using a face-sampling hammer with a bit diameter of 5¼” (ø 135mm).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>“survey”</td>
<td>Comprehensive surveying of drillhole positions, topography, and other cadastral features is carried out by the Company’s surveyors using ‘total station’ instruments and independently verified on a regular basis. Locations are stored in both local drill grid and UTM coordinates.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“t”</td>
<td>Tonne (= 1 million grams)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“variogram”</td>
<td>The Variogram (or more accurately the Semi-variogram) is a method of displaying and modelling the difference in grade between two samples separated by a distance h, called the “lag” distance. It provides the mathematical model of variation with distance upon which the Kriging estimation method is based.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“wireframe”</td>
<td>This is created by using triangulation to produce an isometric projection of, for example, a rock type, mineralization envelope or an underground stope. Volumes can be determined directly of each solid.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1. APPENDIX 1: SANGIHE PROJECT TENEMENT DOCUMENT

KEMENTERIAN ENERGI DAN SUMBER DAYA MINERAL
REPUBLIK INDONESIA

KEPUTUSAN MENTERI ENERGI DAN SUMBER DAYA MINERAL
TENTANG
PENCIUTAN I WILAYAH KONTRAK KARYA DAN PERMULAAN TAHAP KEGIATAN
EKSPLORASI PT. TAMBANG MAS SANGIHE

MENTERI ENERGI DAN SUMBER DAYA MINERAL,


Menimbang: bahwa setelah diselesaikan penelitian yang seksama terhadap laporan teknis
dan keuangan yang diajukan oleh PT. Tambang Mas Sangihe, tercipta
kekurang alasan bagi Pemerintah untuk memberikan persetujuan Penciptaan
I dan Pemulaan Tahap Kegiatan Eksplosasi pada Kontrak Karya sesuai
dengan Pasal 4 ayat (3) dan Pasal 5 ayat (5) Kontrak Karya antara
Pemerintah Republik Indonesia dan PT Tambang Mas Sangihe tanggal 28
April 1957.

Mengingat:
1. Undang-Undang Nomor 4 Tahun 2009 (LN Tahun 2009 Nomor 4, TLN
Nomor 4956);
2. Peraturan Pemerintah Nomor 22 Tahun 2010 (LN Tahun 2010 Nomor 26
TLN Nomor 5110);
3. Peraturan Pemerintah Nomor 23 Tahun 2010 (LN Tahun 2010 Nomor 27
TLN Nomor 5111);
4. Peraturan Pemerintah Nomor 55 Tahun 2010 (LN Tahun 2010 Nomor 85,
TLN 5142);

MEMUTUSKAN:

MENETAPKAN: KEPUTUSAN MENTERI ENERGI DAN SUMBER DAYA MINERAL
TENTANG PENCIUTAN I WILAYAH KONTRAK KARYA DAN PERMULAAN
TAHAP KEGIATAN EKSPLORASI PT. TAMBANG MAS SANGIHE

KESATU: Penciptaan I Wilayah Kontrak Karya seluas 41.770 Ha (33.72% dari
luas wilayah Kontrak Karya semula) dan Pemulaan Tahap Kegiatan
Eksplosasi wilayah Kontrak Karya PT. Tambang Mas Sangihe untuk selama
36 (tiga puluh enam) bulan yang berlaku mulai tanggal 6 Juli 2010 sampai
dengan tanggal 5 Juli 2012.
KEDUA : Dengan Penetapan Penculitan I Wilayah Kontrak Karya dan Pemulian Tahap Kegiatan Ekspolrasi sebagaimana dimaksud pada Diktum Kesatu, maka :

a. Luas Wilayah Kontrak Karya adalah seluas 123.850 (luas wilayah semula) dikurangi seluas 41.770 Ha (luas wilayah Penculitan I) menjadi seluas 82.080 Ha (66,27% dari luas wilayah Kontrak Karya semula) sesuai dengan peta dan daftar koordinat yang diterbitkan oleh Sekretariat Infomasi Mineral dan Batubara, dih UPWP dengan Kode Wilayah 10PK0169 sebagai tercetuk dalam Lampiran Keputusan Mentari ini.

b. Luas Wilayah Kontrak Karya yang dipertahankan yaitu seluas 82.080 Ha atau sama dengan 66,27% dari luas Wilayah Kontrak Karya semula.

KETIGA : PT Tambang Mas Sangihe wajib membayar iuran tetap Pemulian Tahap Kegiatan Ekspolrasi sesuai ketentuan yang berlaku sejak Keputusan ini ditetapkan.


Ditetapkan di Jakarta
pada tanggal 10 Desember 2010

a.n. Menteri Energi dan Sumber Daya Mineral
Direktur Jenderal Mineral dan Batubara

Dr. Ir. Bambang Soeibun
NIP. 195103211980031002

Tembusan:
1. Menteri Energi dan Sumber Daya Mineral
2. Menteri Keuangan
3. Sekretaris Jenderal Kementerian Energi dan Sumber Daya Mineral
4. Inspektur Jenderal Kementerian Energi dan Sumber Daya Mineral
5. Direktur Jenderal Pajak, Kementerian Keuangan
6. Direktur Jenderal Administrasi Keuangan Daerah, Kementerian Dalam Negeri
7. Gubernur Sulawesi Utara
8. Bupati Kepulauan Talaud
9. Bupati Kepulauan Sangihe
11. Direktur Perbendaharaan Negara, Kementerian Keuangan
12. Sekretaris Direktorat Jenderal Mineral dan Batubara
13. Direktur Pembiayaan Pengusahaan Mineral dan Batubara
14. Direktur Teknik dan Lingkungan Mineral dan Batubara
15. Direktur Pembinaan Program Mineral dan Batubara
16. Direktur Pajak Bumi dan Bangunan, Kementerian Keuangan
17. Kepala Dinas Pertambangan Provinsi Sulawesi Utara
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Garis Bujur (m)</th>
<th>Garis Lintang</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a</td>
<td>b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Blok B Luas Wilayah 40.080 Ha**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Garis Bujur (m)</th>
<th>Garis Lintang</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a</td>
<td>b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*ke hal. 2*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. Tik</th>
<th>Garis Bujur (BT)</th>
<th>Garis Lintang</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a</td>
<td>b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
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